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ASYMPTOTIC ANALYSIS OF QUASISTATIC TRANSPORT IN HIGH CONTRAST CONDUCTIVE MEDIA

LILIANA BORcea

Abstract. We show that transport in high contrast, conductive media has a discrete behavior. In the asymptotic limit of infinitely high contrast, the effective impedance and the magnetic field in such media are given by discrete min-max variational principles. Furthermore, we show that the transport problem has an asymptotic, resistor-inductor-capacitor network approximation. We use new variational formulations of the effective impedance of the media and we assess the accuracy of the asymptotic approximation by numerical computations.
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1. Introduction. High contrast electromagnetic transport problems arise frequently in geophysical applications. The subsurface electrical conductivity typically has large variations in magnitude (high contrast) because the rock matrix can be insulating in comparison to liquid-filled pores. The conductivity of pore fluids can also vary over several orders of magnitude. For example, the conductivity of water varies over a large range of values with its salinity [3, 23]. Thus, the subsurface conductivity can display very large contrast.

The problem of imaging the subsurface conductivity has been of much interest because of its connection to oil recovery, subsurface flow monitoring, underground contaminant detection, etc. However, most of the available imaging techniques are based on perturbation approximations (Born or Rytov) which assume that the conductivity has small variations in magnitude [2]. These techniques fail in high contrast situations where the inverse problem is highly nonlinear in the unknown conductivity. New techniques that address the issue of nonlinearity of the inverse problem have yet to be found. Such an inversion method was proposed in [8] and has proved to be highly successful in imaging high contrast media when the boundary excitation is time independent. The inversion method in [8] is based on studies of transport properties of high contrast media under static excitation [9, 28]. In [9, 28, 24, 5] it is shown that flow in such media undergoes strong channeling effects that are of crucial importance in the inversion process. In [9] it is shown that static flow through a high contrast medium can be accurately approximated by flow in a discrete resistor network. The authors of [9] also consider time dependent problems, in the low frequency range, for dielectric media. A network approximation consisting of resistors and capacitors for such media is given in [9], although an inversion method based on this approximation is yet to be found.

We consider the problem of transport in high contrast, conductive media in the quasistatic limit (low frequency range). The quasistatic approximation is motivated by the increased interest in imaging subsurface conductivity with low frequency electromagnetic fields [2, 3]. Early research in the imaging field focused on large frequencies because of the wavefield nature of high frequency electromagnetic propagation
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in dielectric media. However, in conductive media these waves are greatly attenuated and cannot penetrate deep enough. Low frequency electromagnetic fields have a much deeper penetration and are therefore preferred for imaging conductive media. We show that quasistatic flow in high contrast conductive media has a discrete behavior and that the effective impedance is given by discrete, min-max variational principles. Furthermore, we show that the transport problem has an asymptotic, resistor-inductor-capacitor network approximation. The analysis is based on new variational principles of saddle-point type. Other variational principles, of Dirichlet type, are also introduced although they are not used in the analysis. Furthermore, we present the results of numerical simulations that assess the accuracy of the asymptotic approximation of transport in high contrast conductive media.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we formulate the equations for quasistatic electromagnetics and introduce a new variational formulation for the effective resistance and inductance of the medium. In section 3 we discuss a particular case of transport in a two component medium consisting of conductive cylinders imbedded in a uniform insulating background. In section 4 we use asymptotic techniques to study some local quasistatic transport problems. We also review the resistor network approximation for static flow in high contrast media. In section 5 we give a detailed analysis of the transport problem in high contrast media. We use new, min-max variational principles and study the existence of a network that approximates transport in high contrast media. In section 6 we present numerical computations that assess the analytical results. Finally, in the appendix, we introduce various, saddle-point or Dirichlet type variational formulations of the effective impedance of conductive media.

2. Formulation of the Quasistatic Electromagnetic Problem and Associated Variational Principles.

2.1. The Quasistatic Equations. We consider Maxwell's equations in a domain \( \Omega \) that contains no free charges or current sources, with electric and magnetic fields of the form:

\[
\begin{align*}
\vec{E}(x, t) &= \text{real} \left( E(x)e^{-i\omega t} \right), \\
\vec{H}(x, t) &= \text{real} \left( H(x)e^{-i\omega t} \right),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \omega \) is the frequency of oscillation. By assuming (1) we restrict our attention to steady state oscillations in a dissipative medium (quasistatic approximation). The complex valued field amplitudes satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \vec{H}(x) &= \sigma(x) \vec{E}(x) - i\omega \varepsilon(x) \vec{E}(x) \\
\nabla \times \vec{E}(x) &= i\omega \mu(x) \vec{H}(x) \\
\nabla \cdot (\varepsilon(x) \vec{E}(x)) &= 0 \\
\n\nabla \cdot (\mu(x) \vec{H}(x)) &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \sigma \) is the electrical conductivity, \( \varepsilon \) is the dielectric permittivity and \( \mu \) is the magnetic permeability. Note that we omit the Maxwell's equation \( \nabla \cdot [\mu(x) \vec{H}(x)] = 0 \) because it is a consequence of the second equation in (2). The Fourier coefficients \( \vec{E}(x) \) and \( \vec{H}(x) \) depend on the frequency, as well, but for simplicity we assume \( \omega \) fixed. The same calculation can be repeated for various frequencies. We assume that the medium is isotropic so \( \sigma, \varepsilon \) and \( \mu \) are scalar functions. For low frequencies \( (\omega < 1 \text{MHz}) \) and conductive media, it is a good approximation [2, 3] to neglect the displacement current and consider the 'pre-Maxwell' equations:
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \sigma(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) \]
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}) = i \omega \mu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot (\varepsilon(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x})) = 0 \]
\[ \nabla \cdot (\mu(\mathbf{x}) \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x})) = 0. \]

We consider \( \mu \) to be the magnetic permeability of the free space \( (\mu = \mu_0) \) and assume for simplicity that \( \sigma \) is a two dimensional function. All the calculations presented in this paper assume a two dimensional problem but extensions to three dimensions can be done.

We combine the first two equations in (3) to obtain
\[ \nabla \times \left[ \frac{1}{\sigma(\mathbf{x})} \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) \right] = i \omega \mu \mathbf{H}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = 0. \]

We are primarily interested in the application of our analysis to inverse problems, where typical data are measurements of the magnetic field along the boundary \([2, 3, 19]\). Thus, we consider the Dirichlet boundary conditions
\[ \mathbf{H}^\| (\mathbf{x}) = \psi_R(\mathbf{x}) + i \psi_I(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega, \]
where \( \mathbf{H}^\| \) denotes the component of the magnetic field that is tangential to the boundary. The mathematical problem defined by (4) and (5) has a unique solution (see for instance \([13]\)). The electromagnetic problem (3) can also be written in terms of the electric field, where the boundary conditions consist of the specification of the tangential \( \mathbf{E} \) along \( \partial \Omega \). This is equivalent to considering equation (4) with the boundary condition \( \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{x}) \), for \( \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega \), where \( \mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H} \) is the current density and \( \mathbf{n} \) is the normal at the boundary. Moreover, equation (4) can also be considered in the context of homogenization of periodic media \([6]\), where the excitation consists of the given total current density \( \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \). Motivated by the application of imaging conductive media with low frequency electromagnetic fields, we restrict our analysis to the boundary conditions (5). However, extensions to other boundary conditions can be done. In particular, extensions to the homogenization problem for high contrast, periodic media follow quite easily.

In two-dimensional media and in the transverse magnetic mode, \( \mathbf{H} = H(x, y) \mathbf{e}_3 \), where \( \mathbf{e}_3 \) is the unit vector in the \( z \) direction and \( \nabla \times \mathbf{H} = -\nabla^\perp H \), with \( \nabla^\perp = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) \). Thus, (4) reduces to
\[ \nabla^\perp \cdot \left[ \rho(x, y) \nabla^\perp H(x, y) \right] = -i \omega \mu H(x, y) \]
or, equivalently,
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \nabla^\perp \cdot \left[ \rho(x, y) \nabla^\perp H_R \right] = \omega \mu H_I \\ \nabla^\perp \cdot \left[ \rho(x, y) \nabla^\perp H_I \right] = -\omega \mu H_R, \end{array} \right. \]
where \( \rho = 1/\sigma \) is the local resistance of the medium and \( H(x, y) = H_R(x, y) + i H_I(x, y) \) with \( H_R, \ H_I \in \mathbb{R} \). We study the solution of (6) in an arbitrary domain \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \), with Dirichlet boundary conditions
\[ H(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_R(\mathbf{x}) + i \psi_I(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega. \]
We define the current density $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}$ and we observe that (8) define implicitly the normal flux at the boundary:

\begin{equation}
(9) \quad \Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{x}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = \pm \frac{\partial H(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \tau} \quad \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega,
\end{equation}

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}$ denotes the derivative with respect to the tangential coordinate at the boundary. The plus or minus signs in (9) depend on the orientation of the current $\mathbf{j}$ with respect to the unit normal $\mathbf{n}$. Thus, the positive sign corresponds to current entering the domain and the negative sign is for the outward flux.

2.2. The High Contrast Model. Our aim in this paper is to study quasistatic transport in media with very high (logarithmic) contrast of $\sigma$. Such media could consist, for example, of conductive inclusions imbedded in an insulating matrix. In order to solve (6), (8) we must make assumptions about the shape of the inclusions. In section 3 we consider an example of quasistatic flow in a high contrast medium that consists of a background of conductivity $\sigma_0$ in which we imbed cylindrical inclusions of conductivity $\sigma_c \gg \sigma_0$. However, such choices cannot be made in the context of inversion so $\sigma$ should be more general and not depend on particular shapes of inhomogeneities. Therefore, we assume that the high contrast arises in a simple, generic manner as a continuum and propose the model

\begin{equation}
(10) \quad \rho(\mathbf{x}) = \rho_0 e^{\frac{S(x)}{\varepsilon}},
\end{equation}

where $S(\mathbf{x})$ is a smooth function defined on $\Omega$, $\varepsilon$ is a small parameter and $\rho_0$ is a constant that represents the resistance of a homogeneous reference medium. We assume that the resistance $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ varies everywhere in the domain. Thus, at any point $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$, $\rho(\mathbf{x})$ has, at some order, at least one nonvanishing derivative (Morse function). Model resistances of the form (10) were used in [28] and [9] for an asymptotic study of transport in high contrast conductive media under static excitation and in [9] for quasistatic transport in dielectrics. Connections with percolation theory are done in [7, 17, 15, 28]. Model resistances of the form (10) were also used in [8] for imaging high contrast conductive media from d.c. boundary measurements.

2.3. Effective Impedance and Variational Principles. In the quasistatic approximation, the wavelength of the electric and magnetic fields is much larger than the characteristic size of the domain $\Omega$ so we can use the concept of lumped (effective) impedance of the medium. In this section we derive the expression of the effective impedance and show that its real and imaginary parts have a variational formulation. The new variational principles introduced in this section are of saddle-point type, but other variational formulations are given in the appendix.

We start our derivation by considering Poynting's theorem

\begin{equation}
(11) \quad \int_\Omega [\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \cdot \rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^* - i \omega \mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*] \, d\mathbf{x} = -2 \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, ds,
\end{equation}

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal to $\partial \Omega$ and $\mathbf{S} = \frac{i}{\omega} \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}^*$ is the Poynting vector averaged over one period of time. The physical interpretation of (11) is as follows: The left hand side in (11) represents the average over a period of time of the rate of change of electromagnetic energy in the domain $\Omega$. The right hand side in (11) gives the flow
Fig. 1. Energy flows into the domain $\Omega$ through surface $S_I$. The input current and voltage are $I$ and $V$, respectively.

of energy through the boundary of the domain. If we consider equation (11) over the whole space except $\Omega$, we have

$$\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{V}^* = -2 \int_{S_I} \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{n} dS,$$

where $\mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{V}$ are the input current and voltage and $S_I$ is the input surface (see fig. 1). At low frequencies, the radiation escape through $\partial \Omega \setminus S_I$ is negligible [21] so equations (11) and (12) give

$$\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{V}^* = \int_{\Omega} [\nabla \times \mathbf{H} \cdot \rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^* - i \omega \mu \mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{H}^*] d\mathbf{x}.$$  

The linearity of the problem implies that the input voltage is proportional to the input current: $\mathbf{V} = Z \mathbf{I}$, where the coefficient of proportionality is the effective impedance matrix $Z = R - iX$.

The real part of the effective impedance ($R$) accounts for Ohmic heat loss in the medium and we call it resistance. The imaginary part ($X$) is called inductive reactance because it gives the magnetic energy stored in $\Omega$. The reactance $X$ is proportional to the inductance $L$ of the medium, where the coefficient of proportionality is the frequency $\omega$. The expressions for $R$ and $X$ are

$$\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{R}^* = \int_{\Omega} \rho \left( |\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R|^2 + |\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x}$$
$$\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{X}^* = \int_{\Omega} \omega \mu \left( |\mathbf{H}_R|^2 + |\mathbf{H}_I|^2 \right) d\mathbf{x},$$

where $X = \omega L$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_R + i\mathbf{H}_I$ satisfies (4) with boundary conditions (5). The input current $I$ is completely determined by the Dirichlet boundary conditions (5). Thus, the normal flux at the boundary is given by

$$\Phi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}^\| (\mathbf{x}), \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega$$

and the input current $\mathbf{I}$ equals the net inward flux, where we assume that the driving is done through a point current source and a point current sink, as shown in figure 1.
Formulation (14) does not lead to a variational principle. However, if we consider instead of (13) the equation
\[
-\int_{\partial \Omega} (E \times H) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds = \mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{I} = \int_{\Omega} [\nabla \times H - i \omega \mu H \cdot \mathbf{H}] dx,
\]
we obtain
\[
\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}_R \cdot R' \mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_I \cdot R' \mathbf{I}_I + 2 \mathbf{I}_R \cdot X' \mathbf{I}_R - \mathbf{I}_I \cdot X' \mathbf{I}_I + 2 \mathbf{I}_R \cdot R' \mathbf{I}_I
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} \left[ \rho \left( |\nabla \times H_R|^2 - |\nabla \times H_I|^2 \right) + 2 \omega \mu H_R \cdot H_I \right] dx -
\]
\[
i \int_{\Omega} \left[ \omega \mu (|H_R|^2 - |H_I|^2) - 2 \rho \nabla \times H_R \cdot \nabla \times H_I \right] dx,
\]
where \( \mathbf{I}_R \) and \( \mathbf{I}_I \) are the real and imaginary parts of the driving current. The ‘generalized’ impedance \( \mathbf{Z}' = R' - iX' \) is defined by (17) and it is related to the effective impedance \( Z = R - iX \) of the medium through
\[
\mathbf{I} \cdot \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{I} = -\int_{\partial \Omega} (E \times H) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds = \mathbf{I} \cdot Z \mathbf{I}^* - 2i \int_{\partial \Omega} (E \times \mathbf{H}_I) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds.
\]
The integral terms in the right hand side of (18) depend on the tangential flux at the boundary and the imaginary magnetic field \( (\mathbf{H}_I) \), tangential to the surface \( \partial \Omega \). The generalized impedance \( \mathbf{Z}' \) has a variational formulation given by the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.1** We have the following two variational principles:

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{\mathbf{h}_n^R | \mathbf{n} = \psi_n} & \max_{\mathbf{h}_n^I | \mathbf{n} = \psi_I} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \rho \left( |\nabla \times H_R|^2 - |\nabla \times H_I|^2 \right) + 2 \omega \mu H_R \cdot H_I \right] dx
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{\mathbf{h}_n^R | \mathbf{n} = \psi_n} & \max_{\mathbf{h}_n^I | \mathbf{n} = \psi_I} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \omega \mu (|H_R|^2 - |H_I|^2) - 2 \rho \nabla \times H_R \cdot \nabla \times H_I \right] dx.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof:** From the first variation of (19) and (20) we obtain
\[
\begin{align*}
& \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \eta \cdot [\omega \mu \mathbf{H}_I + \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R)] dx = 0 \right. \\
& \left. \int_{\Omega} \gamma \cdot [\omega \mu \mathbf{H}_R - \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I)] dx = 0, \right.
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \eta \) and \( \gamma \) are arbitrary vector functions that vanish along the boundary \( \partial \Omega \) and have continuous second derivatives. Thus, the fields \( \mathbf{H}_R \) and \( \mathbf{H}_I \) that achieve the min-max in (19) and (20) satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}) &= i \omega \mu \mathbf{H}, & \mathbf{H} &= \mathbf{H}_R + i \mathbf{H}_I,
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\mathbf{H}_R(x) = \psi_R(x), \mathbf{H}_I(x) = \psi_I(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial \Omega.
\]
The second variation of (19) gives

$$
(23) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\eta^T, \gamma^T) \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\Gamma & \omega \mu I \\
\omega \mu I & -\Gamma
\end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix}
\eta \\
\gamma
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix and $\Gamma = \nabla \times [\rho \nabla \times]$ is a positive, self adjoint operator applied to functions $\eta$ and $\gamma$ that vanish along $\partial \Omega$. The proof of Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from (22) and (23).

We observe that if in (19) and (20) we require that the driving current be real ($I = I_R$), we obtain the variational formulation of the real and imaginary parts of $Z'$. The impedance $Z'$ depends both on the driving current $I$ and the actual boundary conditions $\psi_R$ and $\psi_I$. The driving current is uniquely determined from the Dirichlet boundary conditions imposed on $H^I$, as given by (9) and (15). However, the reverse is not true. The normal flux at the boundary determines $H^I$ along $\partial \Omega$ up to an additive constant which is important to know because $Z'$ depends on it. We make the definition of $Z'$ and $Z$ more precise by requiring

$$
\begin{align*}
R &= \min \max \, R'(\psi_R, \psi_I) \\
X &= \min \max \, X'(\psi_R, \psi_I),
\end{align*}
$$

where all possible choices of $\psi_R$ and $\psi_I$ give the same input/output current $I$. We assume a point current source and sink, so $\psi_R$ and $\psi_I$ are constant along $\partial \Omega$, except near the source and sink, where they change abruptly. The variational principle (24) implies taking the min-max over the constant values of the tangential magnetic field, away from the source or sink. Hence, (24) is just a criterion of fixing the additive constant in the Dirichlet boundary conditions. In section 6 we remove the constraints (24) on the Dirichlet data and we examine the effect of the additive constant on the flow picture and the impedance. The effective impedance $Z = R - jX$ is connected to the generalized impedance $Z' = R - jX'$ by equation (18). Once the variational problem for $Z'$ has been solved, the effective impedance $Z$ of the medium can be calculated by evaluating the boundary integrals in (18) that involve the imaginary magnetic field at the boundary and the tangential electric field at $\partial \Omega$.

In this paper we consider equation (4) in an arbitrary domain $\Omega$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions (5) and the variational principles:

$$
\begin{align*}
I \cdot R I &= \min \max \, \min_{\psi_R, \psi_I} \, \min_{H^I_{\text{loc}} = \psi_R} \, \max_{H^R_{\text{loc}} = \psi_I} \left[ \int_{\Omega} 2 \omega \mu H_R \cdot H_I \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \rho \left( |\nabla \times H_R|^2 - |\nabla \times H_I|^2 \right) \, dx \right] \\
I \cdot X I &= \min \max \, \min_{\psi_R, \psi_I} \, \min_{H^I_{\text{loc}} = \psi_R} \, \max_{H^R_{\text{loc}} = \psi_I} \left[ \int_{\Omega} \omega \mu \left( |H_R|^2 - |H_I|^2 \right) - 2 \rho \nabla \times H_R \cdot \nabla \times H_I \, dx \right].
\end{align*}
$$

The saddle-point variational principles (25) can be easily extended to the periodic homogenization problem. Indeed, all calculations shown in this section hold for the periodic case. Furthermore, when the driving flow is real, the boundary integral $\int_{\partial \Omega} (E \times H_I) \cdot n \, ds$ vanishes because of the periodicity of the fields and the impedance.
$Z'$ is equal to the actual impedance $Z$ (see (18)). The variational principles (25) are different than the variational principles derived by Cherkaev and Gibiansky [10], Fannjiang and Papanicolaou [14, 9] for quasistatic transport in dielectric media, because they involve both the current density $\mathbf{j} = \nabla \times \mathbf{H}$ and the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$.

The saddle-point structure of (25) is very useful in obtaining lower and upper bounds on the effective parameters $R$ and $X$. Variational principles of Dirichlet type exist as well, as we show in the appendix. However, such variational principles are not ideal for our analysis because of either the nonlinear dependence on the high contrast resistance $\rho$ or the higher order of the Euler equations. We show in the appendix that the effective impedance has variational formulations in terms of the electric field, as well. Such variational principles are more difficult to use than (25) because the electric field cannot be written in terms of a scalar potential.

3. Quasistatic Transport in a Medium with Conductive Cylindrical Inclusions. In this section we consider a particular example of quasistatic transport in a medium that consists of a uniform background of electrical conductivity $\sigma_0$ in which we imbed cylindrical inclusions of conductivity $\sigma_c \gg \sigma_0$. We assume that the inclusions are separated from their neighbors by gaps of width $h$ that is much smaller than the radius $a$ of the cylinders. We concentrate on the local problem of flow in the vicinity of two adjacent inclusions shown in figure 2. The static transport properties of such media were studied by J. Keller [24] and later by Batchelor and O'Brien [5]. Keller showed that d.c. current flows through the conductive inclusions and concentrates in the thin gaps in between. The static magnetic field satisfies $\Delta H(x, y) = 0$ inside the inclusions or in the background. The flow in the background is negligible in comparison with the flow in the gap. Hence, the magnetic field ($H_b$) in the background is approximately a constant. Furthermore, in the gap between the inclusions there is a layer of rapid change of $H_b$. The asymptotic approximation of the magnetic field in the background is

\begin{equation}
H_b(x) \approx -\frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{ah}} \right),
\end{equation}

where we assume a unit input current $I$. Solution (26) is correct up to an additive constant that, for simplicity, is fixed to zero. The resistance of the medium is given by the resistance of the gap that was computed by Keller [24]: $R_g \approx \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}$.

We return to the quasistatic transport problem and observe that the equation (6)
reduces to

$$\Delta H = -i\omega \mu \sigma H,$$

where $\sigma = \sigma_b$ in the background and $\sigma = \sigma_c$ inside the inclusions. We assume that $\omega \mu \sigma_b \ll 1$, so the magnetic field in the background is approximately an harmonic function. Thus, the mathematical problem in the background is essentially the same as the static problem and the solution $H_b$ is given by (26). The inclusions are good conductors, so we assume $\omega \mu \sigma_c = \frac{1}{\lambda} \gg 1$. The mathematical problem for the magnetic field $H_c$ inside an inclusion is

$$\begin{cases}
\lambda^2 \Delta H_c(r, \phi) = -i H_c(r, \phi), & \text{for } r < a \\
H_c(a, \phi) = H_b(a, \phi) \\
\frac{\partial H_c(a, \phi)}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial H_b(a, \phi)}{\partial r}
\end{cases}
$$

where $(r, \phi)$ are polar coordinates with the radius $r$ measured from the center of the cylinder. The solution of (28) is

$$H_c(r, \phi) \approx \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{a}{h} \sin \phi \right) e^{-(1-i) \frac{r}{\lambda}}.
$$

The physical interpretation of (29) is as follows: The magnetic field is expelled from the conductive regions [21, 26, 31, 32] and it penetrates only at the surface of the inclusions, to a depth

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega \mu \sigma_c}} = \text{penetration or skin depth}.
$$

Due to the exponential decay of the magnetic field $H_c$ at the surface of the inclusions, we have a strong surface current confined to a thin layer of characteristic width $\lambda$,

$$j_\phi(r, \phi) = \mathbf{j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_\phi \approx \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda} \arctan \left( \frac{a}{h} \sin \phi \right) e^{-(1-i) \frac{r}{\lambda}}.
$$

We also have a tangential electric field

$$E_\phi(r, \phi) = \mathbf{E}(r, \phi) \cdot \mathbf{e}_\phi \approx \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda \sigma_c} \arctan \left( \frac{a}{h} \sin \phi \right) e^{-(1-i) \frac{r}{\lambda}}.
$$

Due to the confinement of $\mathbf{j}$ to such a thin layer, we can approximate it by current through a wire of impedance

$$Z_w = R_w - i\omega L_w \approx \frac{(1-i) \pi a}{\sqrt{2} \lambda \sigma_c}.$$
The impedance per unit length of the wire relates the effective current
\[ \int_0^a j_\phi(r, \phi) \, dr = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left( \frac{a}{r} \sin \phi \right) \]
to the tangential electric field just outside the wire
\[ E_\phi(r, \phi) = \frac{1 - i}{\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda \sigma_c} \arctan \left( \frac{a}{r} \sin \phi \right). \]
Thus, \( \frac{dE_\phi}{dr} = \frac{1 - i}{\sqrt{2\pi} \lambda \sigma_c} \) and integration along the wire (or arclength denoted by \( s \)) gives (33). The flow picture is as shown in figure 3. The current concentrates in gaps between cylinders and flows through thin layers at the surface of the conductive inclusions. The discrete behavior of the current density \( j \) suggests that there might be a discrete network approximation for the quasistatic transport problem. We address this issue in section 5, where we use variational principles (25) to study the network approximation.

4. Asymptotic Analysis of Quasistatic Transport in a High Contrast Continuum.

4.1. Review of the Resistor Network Approximation for Static Flow in a High Contrast Continuum. In this section we give a brief review of the asymptotic resistor network approximation for static transport in a high contrast continuum with resistance given by (10). The analysis was done by Kozlov [28], Borcea and Papanicolaou [9]. The results summarized in this section are used in the analysis of quasistatic transport in a high contrast continuum (sections 4.2 and 5).

When the fields are time independent, problem (6) reduces to
\[
\begin{cases}
\nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla \Phi) = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \\
H(x) = \psi(x) \in \mathbb{R}, \ x \in \partial\Omega,
\end{cases}
\]
where \( \rho(x) \) is given by (10). The Dirichlet boundary conditions in (34) determine the normal flux at the boundary as explained in section 2.1, equation (9). The static transport equation (34) involves only derivatives of the magnetic field so, the current density \( j = \nabla \cdot H \) and the potential gradient \( \nabla \phi = \rho \nabla \Phi \) in the domain \( \Omega \) are not affected by arbitrary, additive constants in the Dirichlet boundary conditions. Hence, the results stated in this section are the same for any Dirichlet data \( H \mid_{\partial\Omega} = \psi + C \) (\( C \) is an arbitrary constant) that give the same normal flux \( \Phi \) at the boundary. The input current \( I \) is given by the net inward flux \( I = \int_{\partial \Omega^+} \Phi(x) \, d\tau \) and the effective resistance of the medium has the variational formulation
\[
I \cdot RI = \min_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} \int_{\Omega} \rho \left| \nabla \Phi \right|^2 \, dx.
\]

We consider problem (34) with \( \rho \) given by (10) for which we seek the solution as a perturbation series \( H(x, \varepsilon) = H^{(0)}(x) + \varepsilon H^{(1)}(x) + \varepsilon^2 H^{(2)}(x) + \ldots \). The leading order equation is
\[
\nabla \cdot S : \nabla H^{(0)} = 0
\]
and the current density \( j \approx \nabla \cdot H^{(0)} \) is very small in regions of \( \Omega \) where the gradient \( \nabla S \neq 0 \). Around critical points of \( \rho(x) \), \( \nabla \cdot S \) vanishes and we can have thin layers
of rapid variation of the magnetic field \( H \). Thus, we obtain strong fluxes or current channeling effects. Since the flow seeks the path of minimum resistance (see (35)), the inner layers of flow concentration develop only around minima and saddle points of \( \rho(x) \). To state the results, we consider the local problem of flow through a saddle point of \( \rho(x) \). In a system of coordinates oriented with the \( x \) axis along the direction of the saddle, we have

\[
\rho(x) \approx \rho(x_s) \exp \left[ \frac{k^+(y - y_s)^2}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{k^-(x - x_s)^2}{2\epsilon^2} \right],
\]

where \( x_s = (x_s, y_s) \) is the location of the saddle and \( k^+, k^- \) are the curvatures of the scaled logarithm of \( \rho \) at point \( x_s \). The approximation (37) holds for a small neighborhood of the saddle point: \( |x - x_s| \leq \delta, \ |y - y_s| \leq \delta \), where \( \delta \to 0 \), such that \( \frac{\epsilon}{\delta} \to \infty \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). In [28, 9] it is shown that the solution of (34) in the vicinity of \( x_s \) is

\[
H(x) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\frac{k^+}{2}} \frac{(y - y_s)}{\epsilon} \right) + C,
\]

where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant and we assume a unit flux through the saddle point. The electric potential \( \phi(x) \) in the vicinity of \( x_s \) is

\[
\phi(x) \approx -\frac{R_s}{2} \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\frac{k^-}{2}} \frac{(x - x_s)}{\epsilon} \right) + D,
\]

where \( D \) is an arbitrary constant. The constant of proportionality \( R_s \) is given by

\[
R_s = \rho(x_s) \sqrt{\frac{k^+}{k^-}}
\]

and represents the effective resistance of the saddle. Furthermore, the current density given by \( j \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{k^+}{2\pi\epsilon}} e_1 \) and the potential gradient approximated by \( \nabla \phi \approx R_s \sqrt{\frac{k^-}{2\pi\epsilon}} e_1 \) are localized around the saddle point \( x_s \) and the flow can be approximated by a unit flux through a resistor \( R_s \) given by (40).

Around a local minimum \( x_m = (x_m, y_m) \) of the resistance, the shape of function \( \rho \) is bowl-like, so there is no preferred direction of flow. The direction of the current density through \( x_m \) is determined by the path of minimum resistance sought by the flow in \( \Omega \). The current flows from one minimum to another through saddle points in between. Let us assume that the flow through a local minimum \( x_m \) is along the \( x \) direction. In a small neighborhood of \( x_m \), the resistance is approximately

\[
\rho(x) \approx \rho(x_m) \exp \left[ \frac{(x - x_m)^2}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{(y - y_m)^2}{2\epsilon^2} \right],
\]

where \( p \) and \( q \) are curvatures of the scaled logarithm of \( \rho \) at point \( x_m \). The local expression of the magnetic field is

\[
H(x) \approx \frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\frac{q}{2}} \frac{(y - y_m)}{\epsilon} \right) + C,
\]

where \( C \) is an arbitrary constant. This gives a current \( j \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{q}{2\pi\epsilon}} e_1 \) that is localized around the minimum \( x_m \). However, there is no concentration of the potential
gradient at the minimum, so the power dissipated around \( x_m \) is negligible with respect to the heat losses through saddle points of \( \rho \).

The local results given by (38)-(42) are used in conjunction with the variational principle (35) to obtain the resistor network approximation. The analysis is given in [9] and the result is stated by the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1** In the asymptotic limit of infinitely high contrast, static transport in a continuum is approximated by current flow through a discrete resistor network. The nodes of the network are local minima of the resistance and the branches connect two adjacent minima through saddle points. Each branch has a resistance \( R_s \) of form (40).

\[
\mathcal{D}_3 : \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}_2 : \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\right)
\]

\[
\mathcal{D}_1 : \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}
\]

**Fig. 4.** Domain divided into regions of dominant \( \omega \mu \) and dominant \( \rho \)

### 4.2. Local Analysis of Quasistatic Transport Properties of a High Contrast Continuum

We consider the mathematical problem (6), (8) in a high contrast continuum with resistance \( \rho \) given by (10). We rewrite the equation as

\[
\Delta H + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \nabla \cdot S \cdot \nabla H = -i \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} H
\]

and observe that the behavior of the solution \( H \) is dictated by the magnitude of the coefficient \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \) with respect to \( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \). In regions of dominant resistance \( \left( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right) \), the mathematical problem is essentially equivalent to the static one (equation (34)) and the magnetic field is calculated as explained in section 4.1. In conductive regions with \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \), the solution of equation (43) is \( H \approx 0 \). The magnetic field in the strongly conductive regions \( \left( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \right) \) matches the field elsewhere in the flow regime, where the matching domain corresponds to \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \) of order \( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \). Hence, strongly conductive regions with \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \) are surrounded by layers of exponential decay of the magnetic field.

We begin the asymptotic analysis with a simple situation illustrated in figure 4. We assume that \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \) everywhere in \( \Omega \) except for a small neighborhood of a local minimum \( x_m \) of \( \rho \). For simplicity, we assume that the shape of the function \( \rho(x) \) at the minimum is a circular bowl. We divide the domain \( \Omega \) into three regions: region
where $\frac{\omega F}{r^2} \gg \frac{1}{r^2}$, region $D_3$ with $\frac{\omega F}{r^2} \ll \frac{1}{r^2}$ and the intermediate region $D_2$ defined by the condition $\frac{\omega F}{r^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right)$. Equation (43) indicates that the magnetic field vanishes inside region $D_1$ and matches the static field in $D_3$. The matching region is the ring $D_2$. Hence, there is a layer of exponential decay of $H(x)$ near the boundary of the inner region $D_1$. The local expression of the resistance $\rho$ is given by

$$\rho(x) \approx \rho(x_m)e^{\frac{r^2}{r_m^2}},$$

where $r$ is the radial distance measured from the minimum at $x_m$. For simplicity, in (44) we assume that the scaled logarithm of the resistance at the minimum has curvatures $p = q = 1$ (see equation (41)). Generalizations to more general shapes of $D_1$ and different curvatures $p$ and $q$ are done later in this section.

At the minimum we have $\frac{\omega F}{\rho(x_m)} = \frac{1}{r_m^2} \gg \frac{1}{r^2}$, where $\alpha = 2 - \frac{\log r_m}{\log \epsilon} > 0$. We define $D_1 = \{r \mid r < r_C\}$, where $r_C = \epsilon \sqrt{2\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}} = \frac{\delta}{\gamma}$, $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\alpha \log \frac{1}{\epsilon}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\omega F}{\rho(r_C)} \approx \frac{1}{r_m^2} e^{-\frac{r^2}{r_m^2}} = \frac{1}{r^2}$. In the vicinity of the local minimum $x_m$ we rewrite the equation (43) in polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$:

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{r}{c^2} \frac{\partial H}{\partial r} = -\frac{i}{c^2 + \alpha} e^{\frac{r^2}{r_m^2}} H.$$ 

Since the solution $H$ in the conductive region $D_1$ matches the static field $H_s(r, \theta)$ outside, we seek the solution of (45) as $H(r, \theta) = H_s(r, \theta) f(r, \theta)$. The static field $H_s(r, \theta)$ satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 H_s}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 H_s}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{r}{c^2} \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial r} = 0,$$ 

so equation (45) becomes

$$H_s(r, \theta) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{r}{c^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r} + \frac{i}{c^2 + \alpha} e^{-\frac{r^2}{r_m^2}} f \right] + 2 \nabla H_s \cdot \nabla f = 0.$$ 

If we assume an excitation that drives the flow horizontally through the minimum, the static field is given by (see section 4.1)

$$H_s(r, \theta) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{y}{\sqrt{2\epsilon}} \right) + C$$

where $y = r \sin \theta$ and $C$ is a constant that is not arbitrary as in the static case, but determines the flux through the layers of exponential decay of $H$ at the surface of the conductive region $D_1$. Constant $C$ can be found only from the variational principles (25), as we show in section 5. With (48), and scaling $\xi = \frac{r}{\epsilon}$, equation (47) becomes

$$C - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{erf} \left( \frac{\xi \sin \theta}{\sqrt{2\epsilon}} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \xi^2} + \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + \frac{1}{\xi^2} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} + \frac{\xi}{\xi^2} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} + \frac{i}{c^2 + \alpha} e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{2\epsilon}} f \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} + \cos \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right) e^{-\frac{\xi^2}{c^2 + \alpha}} = 0.$$ 

The layer of exponential decay of $f(\xi, \theta)$ develops near the boundary of $D_1$, given by $\xi = \frac{1}{\epsilon}$, so we introduce the layer coordinates $(\eta, \theta)$, where $\eta = \frac{1}{\epsilon} - \xi$. From
\[ \xi^2 = (\xi - \frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{\delta})^2 \approx \frac{1}{3\delta} - 2\frac{\tilde{p}}{\delta}, \sin \theta e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta}} \approx \sin \theta e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta^2}} \] and equation (49), we obtain

\[
\left[ C - \frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{2\delta}} \right) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \eta^2} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} + i \frac{\tilde{p}}{\delta^2} f \right] + \\
\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} - \delta \cos \theta \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \right) e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta^2}} \approx 0. 
\]

Due to the exponential factor \( e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta^2}} \) and the inequality \( |\sin \theta e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta^2}}| \leq \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\pi}}, \) the last term in the equation (50) is at most of order \( \delta. \) Hence, at first order, the angular dependence in (50) can be factored out and the leading order approximation of function \( f(\cdot) \) is independent on \( \theta. \) To calculate \( f(\cdot) \) from (50), we look for a solution of form \( f(\eta) = \exp \left[ \frac{S_0(\eta)}{\delta} + S_1(\eta, \theta) + \ldots \right] \) which leads to the leading order equation

\[ (S_0(\eta))^2 - S_0(\eta) + i \tilde{p}^2 e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta}} = 0, \] with solution \( S_0(\eta) = \frac{\tilde{p}}{2} \mp \frac{\delta}{2} \int_{0}^{\eta} \sqrt{1 - 4i \tilde{p}^2 e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta}}} d\eta. \) We keep only the decaying solution and the magnetic field is given by

\[
H(r, \theta) \approx H_s(r, \theta) \exp \left[ \frac{r_C(r_C - r)}{2\delta^2} - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{r_C} \frac{r_C(r_C - r)}{\epsilon^2} \sqrt{1 - 4i \epsilon^2 e^{-\frac{\tilde{p}^2}{2\delta}}} d\epsilon \right].
\]

The interpretation of the result (51) is as follows: For \( r > r_C \) (inside the ring \( D_2 \)), the magnetic field matches the static field \( H_s. \) Inside region \( D_1, \) close to the boundary, such that \( \frac{\tilde{p}^2}{r_C^2} e^{-\frac{r_C(r_C - r)}{\epsilon^2}} \ll 1, \) the static solution remains valid. However, deeper inside the conductive region \( D_1, \) the exponential term under the square root in (51) dominates and \( H \) decays exponentially fast to zero. Solution (51) depends on the frequency \( \omega \) through the parameter \( r_C(\omega) \) that determines the boundary \( \partial D_1 \) of the conductive region. Parameter \( r_C(\omega) \) increases with the frequency as shown by

\[
r_C(\omega) = \epsilon \sqrt{2 \log \left[ \frac{\epsilon^2 \omega \mu}{\rho(x_m)} \right].}
\]

Solution (51) is obtained under the assumption that \( D_1 \) is a small vicinity of a local minimum of the resistance, where the curvatures \( p \) and \( q \) (see equation (41)) of the scaled logarithm of \( \rho \) are equal to one. However, the calculation of the magnetic field \( H \) that is expelled by conductive regions, can be done for an arbitrary shape of the domain \( D_1. \) For instance, in a more general situation, we can still have \( D_1 \) as a neighborhood of a local minimum \( x_m \) and curvatures \( p \) and \( q \) that are not equal to each other. Then, the boundary \( \partial D_1 \) is an ellipse and the magnetic field \( H \) can be obtained from (51) by using a conformal mapping (see section 6, equation (88)). However, in a general situation, the conductive region \( D_1 \) can include more than a small neighborhood of a local minimum of the resistance, so the boundary \( \partial D_1 \) can have an arbitrary shape and conformal mapping cannot be used. We introduce instead a system of coordinates \( (\xi, \eta) \) defined at the boundary \( \partial D_1, \) as shown in figure 4.2. The boundary \( \partial D_1 \) of the conductive region is defined by the locus of points that give

\[
\rho(x) = \epsilon^2 \omega \mu, \text{ for } x \in \partial D_1.
\]

We look for a solution \( H(\xi, \eta) = H_s(\xi, \eta) f(\xi, \eta) \) of equation (43), where \( H_s(\cdot) \) is a solution of the static problem (34). In the layer developed near \( \partial D_1, \) the function \( f(\cdot) \)
has a very rapid variation in the normal coordinate $\xi$ and a much slower variation in the tangential coordinate $\eta$. The local approximation of the resistance is

$$\rho(\xi, \eta) \approx \rho(0, \eta) e^{-\frac{\lambda \eta \xi}{\epsilon^2}},$$

where $\rho(0, \eta) = \epsilon^2 \omega \mu$ and $\lambda(\eta) > 0$. Approximation (54) is due to the decrease of the resistance function $\rho$ inside region $D_1$, where $\frac{d\rho}{d\xi} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. The calculation of $f(\cdot)$ is similar to the one given for the circular region $D_1$ and the result is

$$H(\xi, \eta) \approx H_s(\xi, \eta) \exp \left[ \frac{\lambda(\eta) \xi}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{2} \int_0^\xi \sqrt{1 - 4i \epsilon^2 \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{\lambda^2(\eta)} t} dt \right].$$

Solution (55) depends on the frequency $\omega$ through parameter $\lambda(\cdot)$. The boundary location and shape of $\partial D_1$ depend on $\omega$ and so does $\lambda(\cdot)$.

4.3. The Effective Impedance. The local analysis of quasistatic transport in a high contrast continuum presented in section 4.2 shows clearly that the magnetic field is expelled from the strongly conductive regions with $\frac{d\rho}{d\xi} \gg \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$. Due to this phenomenon, there are thin layers of exponential decay of the magnetic field $H$ and strong surface currents. Thus, we can approximate the transport problem by flow through wires surrounding the conductive regions. The net current through the wires is given by the tangential current density $j_n(\xi, \eta) e_n = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi}(\xi, \eta) e_n \approx j(\xi, \eta)$, integrated with respect to $\xi$ across the layers of flow concentration. Since the current is basically tangential to the surface of the conductive region $D_1$, the contour lines of constant magnetic field $H$ are parallel to the boundary $\partial D_1$. Thus, if in the background of dominant resistance ($\frac{d\rho}{d\xi} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$) the magnetic field is a constant $C + iB$, the net flux through a wire at the boundary $\partial D_1$ is $I = \int_{s(D_1)} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \xi} dt = C + iB$, where $t = \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{\epsilon^2} \xi$, $t \in [0, \infty)$. The surface (wire) impedance, $Z_w = R_w - i\omega L_w$ is obtained from (14), (54) and (55):

$$R_w \approx \omega \mu \int_{\partial D_1} d\eta \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{4} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left| 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4i \epsilon^2 \lambda^2(\eta)} \right| \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{1 - 4i \epsilon^2 \lambda^2(\eta)} dp \right] \right|^2,$$

$$\omega L_w \approx \omega \mu \int_{\partial D_1} d\eta \frac{\epsilon^2}{\lambda(\eta)} \int_{0}^{\infty} dt \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{1 - 4i \epsilon^2 \lambda^2(\eta)} dp \right|^2.$$

Expressions (56) are difficult to calculate in general, unless we evaluate them numerically. However, we observe that both the resistance and inductive reactance are of the form

$$R_w \text{ and } \omega L_w \sim \int_{\partial D_1} \frac{\epsilon^2 \omega \mu}{\lambda(\eta)} = \int_{\partial D_1} \frac{\rho(0, \eta)}{\lambda(\eta)}.$$
up to some factors of order one. This result is very similar to the surface impedance calculated in section 3 for a medium with conductive cylindrical inclusions. Thus, the surface resistance and inductance are proportional to the resistance at the surface and inverse proportional to the penetration depth \( \lambda(\eta) \). The expression of the magnetic field (55) expelled from the conductive region \( D_1 \) is more complicated than solution (29) for cylindrical inclusions, so the definition of the skin depth is more subtle. We define the skin depth \( \lambda \) based on the similarity between the surface impedance calculated from (56) and the impedance given in section 3, equation (33).

5. High Contrast Analysis Based on Variational Principles. In this section we study the global problem of quasistatic transport in a high contrast continuum with resistance (10). The analysis is based on the variational principles (25) for the effective resistance and inductive reactance of the medium. We use the asymptotic results presented in section 4 to choose trial fields in the variational principles and obtain lower and upper bounds on \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( X \). Furthermore, we show that the upper and lower bounds on the effective parameters \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( X \) match each other as the contrast in the resistance becomes infinitely high, where the matching value is given by a discrete variational principle. Hence, in the asymptotic limit of infinitely high contrast, we can compute the effective impedance of the medium and the magnetic field throughout the domain \( \Omega \). We also address the question of approximating quasistatic transport in a high contrast conductive medium by current flow through a discrete network. We show that the flow has a discrete behavior by concentrating around saddle points of the resistance and at the surface of strongly conductive regions. Furthermore, we show that the transport problem admits a network approximation. The branches of the network correspond to the saddle points and the surface of strongly conductive regions, where the current density concentrates. The impedance associated with each branch is not necessarily the resistance of a saddle (see (40)), or the impedance (56) of a wire. We show that the vector of impedances associated with the branches in the network is the solution of a linear, full rank, often underdetermined system of equations, so the network approximation may be nonunique.

![Diagram of a high contrast continuum with two saddle points and a conductive region.](image)

**Fig. 6.** High contrast continuum with two saddle points \( \mathbf{x}_{S1}, \mathbf{x}_{S2} \) and a conductive region \( D_1 \).

5.1. Example of a Resistor-Inductor Network Approximation of Quasistatic Transport in a High Contrast Continuum. We consider the quasistatic transport problem (6) with Dirichlet boundary conditions (5) in the high contrast
continuum shown in figure 6. The high contrast resistance \( \rho(x) = e^{\frac{x}{\epsilon}} \) has two saddle points \( x_{S1} = (x_1, y_1) \) and \( x_{S2} = (x_2, y_2) \), one minimum at \( x_m \) and four maxima \( x_{M_i}, i = 1, \ldots, 4 \). The analysis is similar for any orientation of the saddle points so, for simplicity, we assume that both saddles are in the horizontal direction. Furthermore, \( \frac{\epsilon}{\alpha} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon} \) everywhere in the domain \( \Omega \), except in a neighborhood of the minimum \( x_m \).

The external driving force consists of a unit current \( I \), as shown in figure 6. Since there is no imaginary driving current, the imaginary field \( H_I \) is constant along the boundary. The real field \( H_R \) changes rapidly near the current source and sink, but remains constant elsewhere along the boundary. As we explained in section 2.3, there is an infinite number of Dirichlet data \( H_R(x) = \psi_R(x), \ H_I(x) = \psi_I(x) \), for \( x \in \partial \Omega \) that give the same normal current \( I \) at the boundary. We restrict attention to the Dirichlet data (5) given by the solution of the saddle point variational principles (25). We use the variational principles (25) to show that quasistatic transport in the high

\[
\begin{align*}
H_R(x) &= \begin{cases}
-\frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( \sqrt{\frac{k_{+1}^{\pm} y^2 + y_{1.2}}{\epsilon}} \right) + \frac{C_2 - C_1}{2} & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{N}_{S1,2} \\
-C_1 h_1 + F_1 g_1 & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_1 \\
C_2 h_2 - F_2 g_2 & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_2 \\
-C_1 & \text{for } x \in \Omega_1 \\
C_2 & \text{for } x \in \Omega_2 \\
0 & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{D}_1,
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

where constants \( C_i \) and \( F_i \) satisfy

\[
\begin{align*}
C_1 + C_2 &= 1 \\
F_1 + F_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The trial field \( H_R \) given by (57) is chosen according to the asymptotic results obtained in section 4. Thus, inside the conductive region \( \mathcal{D}_1 \), the magnetic field is zero and in the regions of diffuse flow \( \Omega_{1,2} \), \( H \) is a constant. In the neighborhoods \( \mathcal{N}_{S1,2} \) of the saddle points, the magnetic field is given by (38), where \( k_{+1}^{\pm} \) are the curvatures, in the direction normal to the flow, of the scaled logarithm of \( \rho \) at \( x_{S1,2} \). At the surface of the conductive region \( \mathcal{D}_1 \), the magnetic field decays exponentially fast and a surface current is created. Due to the strong current concentration at the surface,
we can approximate the flow by current through the wires $\Gamma_{1,2}$ shown in figure 8. The magnetic field near the wires is given by (see equation (55))

$$H(x) \approx H_s(x) [h(x) + ig(x)],$$

where $H_s$ is the static magnetic field outside region $\mathcal{D}_1$ and is given by $H_s = -C_1 - iF_1$, for $\Gamma_1$ and $H_s = C_2 + iF_2$ for $\Gamma_2$, respectively. Functions $h(x)$ and $g(x)$ are the real and imaginary parts of the exponentially decaying term in (55):

$$\exp \left( \frac{\lambda(\eta)\xi}{2\epsilon^2} - \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\xi}{\epsilon}} \sqrt{1 - 4i \frac{\epsilon^2}{\lambda^2(\eta)} e^{\lambda(\eta)\epsilon} dt} \right) = h + ig,$$

where $(\xi, \eta)$ is the system of coordinates defined along $\Gamma_{1,2}$, with $\eta$ tangential to the wires.

We use the trial field $H_R$ given by (57) in the variational formulation (25) of the effective resistance and obtain the upper bound

$$\overline{R}' \leq \min_{C_1+C_2=1} \max_{F_1+F_2=0} \mathcal{F}(N_{S1}) + \mathcal{F}(N_{S2}) + \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_1) + \mathcal{F}(\Omega_1) + \mathcal{F}(\Omega_2) + \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_1) + \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_2),$$

where each term $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D})$ represents a maximization over the imaginary field $H_I$ in the corresponding region denoted by $\mathcal{D}$. We look first at vicinities of the saddles:

$$\mathcal{F}(N_{S1,2}) = \max_{I_t=F_1+F_2=0} \int_{N_{S1,2}} \rho \left[ \frac{k_{1,2}^+}{2\pi \epsilon^2} e^{-\frac{k_{1,2}^+(\eta_{1,2})^2}{2\epsilon^2}} - \left\| \nabla^\perp H_I \right\|^2 \right] dx +$$

$$2\omega \mu \int_{N_{S1,2}} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \text{erf} \left( \frac{\sqrt{k_{1,2}^+ y - y_{1,2}}}{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{C_2 - C_1}{2} \right] H_I dx,$$

where the net imaginary flux $I_t$ is required to be zero. The Euler equation for the imaginary field: $\nabla^\perp (\rho \nabla^\perp H_I) = \omega \mu \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{\sqrt{k_{1,2}^+ y - y_{1,2}}}{\epsilon} \right) - \frac{C_2 - C_1}{2} \right]$, $\frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ has the solution (see section 4)

$$H_I(x) \approx \text{constant} = -F_1 = F_2, \text{ for } x \in N_{S1,2}. $$
Hence, from (60), (59) and the approximation (37) of the resistance near the saddles, we obtain

\[
\mathcal{F}(N_{S1,2}) = R_{S1,2},
\]

where \( R_{S1}, R_{S2} \) are given by (40). In the interior of the conductive region we have

\[
\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{D}_1) = -\min_{I_x=0} \left[ \rho \left| \nabla^\perp H_1 \right|^2 \right] dx \approx 0.
\]

In the diffuse regions \( \Omega_{1,2} \) there is no net current and

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Omega_1) = \max_{I_x=0} \int_{\Omega_1} \left[ \rho \left| \nabla^\perp H_1 \right|^2 - 2\omega \mu C_1 H_1 \right] dx.
\]

The Euler equation \( \nabla^\perp (\rho \nabla^\perp H_1) = \omega \mu C_1 \), where \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \) has the solution \( H_1 \approx \) constant = \( -F_1 \). Hence,

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Omega_1) \approx 2\omega \mu C_1 F_1 S_1,
\]

where \( S_1 = \int_{\Omega_1} dx \) is the area of region \( \Omega_1 \). Similarly,

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Omega_2) \approx 2\omega \mu C_2 F_2 S_2.
\]

Finally, we look at the transport problem in the vicinity of the wires at the surface of the conductive region \( \mathcal{D}_1 \). We have \( \mathcal{F}(\Gamma_1) \) given by

\[
\max_{I_x=F_1} \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ \rho \left[ \left| -C_1 \nabla^\perp h_1 + F_1 \nabla^\perp g_1 \right|^2 - \left| \nabla^\perp H_1 \right|^2 \right] + 2\omega \mu \left( -C_1 h_1 + F_1 g_1 \right) H_1 \right\} dx
\]

and the Euler equation \( \nabla^\perp (\rho \nabla^\perp H_1) = \omega \mu (C_1 h_1 - F_1 g_1) \) has the solution (see section 4) \( H_1(x) \approx -C_1 g_1(x) - F_1 h_1(x) \). Hence,

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Gamma_1) \approx (C_1^2 - F_1^2) R_{w1} + 2\omega C_1 F_1 L_{w1},
\]

where \( R_{w1} \) and \( L_{w1} \) are the resistance and inductance of the wire \( \Gamma_1 \), given by (56). Similarly, for the other wire \( \Gamma_2 \) we have

\[
\mathcal{F}(\Gamma_2) \approx (C_2^2 - F_2^2) R_{w2} + 2\omega C_2 F_2 L_{w2}.
\]

From (5.4)-(5.13) we obtain the upper bound

\[
\mathcal{F} \leq R_{S1} + R_{S2} + \min_{C_1+C_2=1} \max_{F_1+F_2=0} \left[ (C_1^2 - F_1^2) R_{w1} + 2\omega C_1 F_1 L_{1} + (C_2^2 - F_2^2) R_{w2} + 2\omega C_2 F_2 L_{2} \right],
\]

where \( L_{1,2} = L_{w1,2} + \mu S_{1,2} \) is the inductance of the wires and the regions of diffuse flow. To get a lower bound on \( \overline{\mathcal{F}} \), we start with the trial imaginary magnetic field

\[
H_{I}(x) = \begin{cases} 
-C_1 g_1 - F_1 h_1 & x \in \Gamma_1 \\
C_2 g_2 + F_2 h_2 & x \in \Gamma_2 \\
-F_1 & x \in \Omega_1 \\
F_2 & x \in \Omega_2 \\
0 & x \in \mathcal{D}_1,
\end{cases}
\]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Net current</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_{S1,2}$</td>
<td>$I = 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{w1} - i\omega L_1$</td>
<td>$I_1 = C_1 + iF_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{w2} - i\omega L_2$</td>
<td>$I_2 = C_2 + iF_2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1

*Currents through the branches of the resistor-inductor network shown in 7*

where $C_1 + C_2 = 1$ and $F_1 + F_2 = 0$. The calculations involved in obtaining the lower bound on $\mathcal{R}$ are similar to the ones shown above and the result is

$$
\mathcal{R} \gtrsim R_{S1} + R_{S2} + \min_{C_1+C_2=1} \max_{F_1+F_2=0} \left[ (C_1^2 - F_1^2) R_{w1} + 2\omega C_1 F_1 L_1 + (C_2^2 - F_2^2) R_{w2} + 2\omega C_2 F_2 L_2 \right].
$$

Hence, the lower and upper bounds match each other and the effective resistance is given by the discrete, min-max variational principle

$$
\mathcal{R} \approx R_{S1} + R_{S2} + \min_{C_1+C_2=1} \max_{F_1+F_2=0} \left[ (C_1^2 - F_1^2) R_{w1} + 2\omega C_1 F_1 L_1 + (C_2^2 - F_2^2) R_{w2} + 2\omega C_2 F_2 L_2 \right].
$$

(68)

We take the first variation in the discrete saddle point variational principle (68):

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta C_1 (C_1 R_{w1} + \omega L_1 F_1) + \delta C_2 (C_2 R_{w2} + \omega L_2 F_2) &= 0 \\
\delta F_1 (F_1 R_{w1} - \omega L_1 C_1) + \delta F_2 (F_2 R_{w2} - \omega L_2 C_2) &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(69)

where the perturbation currents satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta C_1 + \delta C_2 &= 0 \\
\delta F_1 + \delta F_2 &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(70)

Thus, the constants $C_{1,2}$ and $F_{1,2}$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
C_1 R_{w1} + \omega L_1 F_1 &= C_2 R_{w2} + \omega L_2 F_2 \\
F_1 R_{w1} - \omega L_1 C_1 &= F_2 R_{w2} - \omega L_2 C_2 \\
C_1 + C_2 &= 1 \\
F_1 + F_2 &= 0,
\end{align*}
$$

(71)

which are Kirchhoff’s node and loop laws for the resistor-inductor network shown in figure 7. A similar result is obtained for the effective inductive reactance $\mathcal{X}$:

$$
\mathcal{X} \approx \min_{C_1+C_2=1} \max_{F_1+F_2=0} \left[ (C_1^2 - F_1^2) \omega L_1 - 2C_1 F_1 R_{w1} + (C_2^2 - F_2^2) \omega L_2 - 2C_2 F_2 R_{w2} \right].
$$

(72)

We conclude that quasistatic transport in the high contrast continuum shown in figure 6 can be approximated by current flow through the resistor-inductor network shown in figure 7. The current through each network element is given in table 1, where

$$
\begin{align*}
C_1 &= \frac{R_{w2}(R_{w1}+R_{w2})+\omega L_2(\omega L_1+\omega L_2)}{(\omega L_1+\omega L_2)^2+(R_{w1}+R_{w2})^2} \\
C_2 &= 1 - C_1 \\
F_1 &= \frac{R_{w2}(\omega L_1+\omega L_2)-\omega L_2(R_{w1}+R_{w2})}{(\omega L_1+\omega L_2)^2+(R_{w1}+R_{w2})^2} \\
F_2 &= -F_1.
\end{align*}
$$

(73)
The generalized impedance $\mathbf{Z}^*$ is given by the impedance of the circuit shown in figure 7:

\[
\mathbf{Z}^* = R_{S1} + R_{S2} + \left[ \frac{1}{R_{u1} - i\omega L_1} + \frac{1}{R_{u2} - i\omega L_2} \right]^{-1}.
\]

Finally, we compute the effective impedance $\mathbf{Z}$ of the medium from equations (18) and (74):

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{R} &= \mathbf{R} + 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} H_1 \rho \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial n} ds, \\
\mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{X} + 2 \int_{\partial \Omega} H_1 \rho \frac{\partial H_1}{\partial n} ds.
\end{align*}
\]

The imaginary field $H_1$ is a constant along the boundary and from (6) we have

\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} \rho \frac{\partial H}{\partial n} ds = -i\omega \mu \int_{\Omega} H \, dx,
\]

where

\[
\int_{\Omega} H \, dx \approx -(C_1 + IF_1)S_1 + (C_2 + IF_2)S_2.
\]

Hence, from (68), (75)-(77) we obtain the effective resistance of the medium

\[
\mathbf{R} \approx R_{S1} + R_{S2} + (C_1^2 - F_1^2)R_{u1} + 2\omega C_1 F_1 L_{u1} + (C_2^2 - F_2^2)R_{u2} + 2\omega C_2 F_2 L_{u2},
\]

where the terms $2\omega C_i F_i \mu S_i, \, i = 1, 2$ due to the regions $\Omega_{1,2}$ of diffuse flow have been subtracted. Thus, as definition (14) shows, heat dissipation occurs only in the part of the domain with significant current density: at saddle points and along the wires on the surface of the conductive regions. However, the regions $\Omega_{1,2}$ of diffuse flow are important in determining the magnitude of the currents flowing through each network element.
5.2. The Effective Quasistatic Impedance of a High Contrast Continuum. The resistor-inductor network approximation obtained in section 5.1 corresponds to a high contrast continuum with the particular geometry shown in figure 6. In this section we consider more general situations and explore the validity of the network approximation. We show that quasistatic transport in a high contrast continuum with resistance (10) can be approximated by flow through a discrete network. The branches of the network correspond to the saddle points of $\rho$ in regions with $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ and to thin layers (wires) at the surface of strongly conductive regions, where $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. However, the impedance associated with each branch may not be simply the resistance of the saddle points or the impedance of the wires given by equations (40) and (56). Instead, we show that the vector of impedances satisfies a linear, full rank, usually underdetermined system.

In general, to obtain the asymptotic network approximation we first identify its topology. We do so by drawing first the static resistor network (see Lemma 4.1), where the nodes of the network are minima of $\rho$ and the branches connect two adjacent minima through a saddle point. We illustrate such a resistor network in figure 9. Next, we identify the strongly conductive regions in $\Omega$, where the condition $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ is satisfied. We draw two such regions $D_i$ and $D_j$ in figure 9. The analysis presented in section 4 shows that, inside the conductive regions $D_i$, the magnetic field vanishes. Furthermore, outside $D_i$, the magnetic field is approximately equal to a solution of the static problem. Hence, near saddle points, $H$ changes abruptly across the direction of the current through the saddles and is constant elsewhere in the flow regime. This leads to flow channeling at the saddle points and the approximation by current through a resistor $R_s$ given by (40). The net current through each saddle point is given by the difference between the magnetic field above and below the flow line. The conductive regions $D_i$ expel the magnetic field, so there are strong surface currents around them. Due to the small depth of the surface layers, we approximate the surface currents by currents through wires of impedance per unit length $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho}$ given by (56), where $\eta$ is the coordinate tangent to the surface of $D_i$. The net current through the wires at the surface of $D_i$ is given by the magnitude of the static magnetic field outside $D_i$. The topology of the network is clear now. The branches of the network are given by either the paths through saddle points (if $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \ll \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$) or by the wires around the strongly conductive regions, where $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. The nodes of the network are either minima of $\rho$ that are connected through a saddle point, or the points of intersection between the contour of the static resistor network and the conductive regions $D_i$.

Let us assume that there are $N_C$ regions $D_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_C$, where the resistance $\rho$ is small enough so $\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho} \gg \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ is satisfied. The rest of the domain is divided into $N_D$ regions $\Omega_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, N_D$ of diffuse flow, where the division is done by the flow path, as explained in the example shown in section 5.1. Due to the thin regions of flow concentration, we have $\Omega \approx \bigcup_{i = 1}^{N_D} \Omega_i \cup \bigcup_{i = 1}^{N_C} D_i$. Suppose that out of $N$ branches, $N_s$ correspond to saddle points and the rest $N_u = N - N_s$ are given by wires. To determine the magnetic field $H$ and the effective impedance, we use the variational principles (25). The analysis is similar to the one illustrated in section 5.1. Thus, we start with a trial field $H_R$ that is suggested by the asymptotic analysis given in section 4 and we obtain an upper bound on $R$ and $X'$. To get lower bounds on the bulk parameters $R$ and $X'$, we choose an appropriate imaginary trial field $H_I$. The bounds match each other when the contrast in $\rho$ tends to infinity and the matching
values are given by discrete min-max variational principles in terms of the constant field \( H \) in the regions of diffuse flow \( \Omega \). We use the notation

\[
H(x) \approx C_j + iF_j
\]

for points \( x \) in the regions of diffuse flow \( \Omega_j \), \( j = 1, \ldots N_D \). The currents through the saddle points are given by

\[
I_{Sj} = -(C_l + iF_l) + (C_k + iF_k), \quad j = 1, \ldots N_S,
\]

where the indices \( l \) and \( k \) correspond to the diffuse regions \( \Omega_{k,l} \) right above and below the flow line through the saddle \( j \). The currents through the wires are

\[
I_{wj} = C_l + iF_l, \quad j = 1, \ldots N_w,
\]

where the index \( l \) corresponds to the region of diffuse flow \( \Omega_l \) in the immediate vicinity of the wire \( j \).

The effective resistance and inductive reactance are given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{R} & \approx \min_{C_j, F_j} \max_{N_w} \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} (I_{Sj}^{(R)} - I_{Sj}^{(I)})^2 R_{Sj} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} C_j F_j \omega \mu S_j + \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} \left[ (I_{wj}^{(R)} - I_{wj}^{(I)})^2 R_{wj} + 2 L_{wj}^{(I)} I_{wj}^{(R)} \omega L_{wj} \right], \\
\mathcal{X} & \approx \min_{C_j, F_j} \max_{N_w} \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} (C_j^2 - F_j^2) \omega \mu S_j - 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N_D} I_{Sj}^{(R)} I_{Sj}^{(I)} R_{Sj} + \\
& \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_S} \left[ (I_{wj}^{(R)} - I_{wj}^{(I)})^2 \omega L_{wj} - 2 I_{wj}^{(I)} I_{wj}^{(R)} R_{wj} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

where \( S_j = \int_{\Omega_j} d\mathbf{x}, \quad j = 1, \ldots N_D \) and the superindices \( (R) \) and \( (I) \) denote the real and imaginary parts of the currents \( I_{Sj} \) and \( I_{wj} \). There are also two constraints imposed on the constants \( C_i \) and \( F_i \) that establish the driving current. From the first variation of (81) and the two constraint equations we obtain a full rank, linear system of \( 2N_D \) equations with \( 2N_D \) unknowns \( C_i \) and \( F_i, \ i = 1, \ldots N_D \) which determines uniquely the asymptotic approximation of the magnetic field \( H \) in the domain \( \Omega \). Furthermore, once \( C_i \) and \( F_i, \ i = 1, \ldots N_D \) are known, we calculate the effective impedance \( \mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{R} - i\mathcal{X} \) from (81).

Thus, the variational principles are very powerful tools for calculating the asymptotic approximation of the magnetic field in media with high contrast. The discrete variational formulation of the effective impedance and the flow concentration in \( \Omega \) leads us to the question of existence of an asymptotic network that approximates the flow through the medium. If there is such a network, the currents through each branch must coincide with the currents calculated above from the variational principles. Furthermore, the network must have the same equivalent impedance \( \mathcal{Z} \) as the one given by (81). In the network, to each branch \( j \) we associate an impedance \( z_j \), where \( j = 1, \ldots N \). Then, if a network exists, the algebraic sums of the potential drops in each closed loop must be zero, (Kirchhoff’s loop law) and

\[
\mathcal{Z} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathcal{G}_j z_j.
\]
There are no other constraints because the currents \( I_j, \ j = 1, N \) computed from the variational principles satisfy Kirchhoff’s node laws. To write explicitly the system of equations satisfied by \( z_j \), we introduce the spanning network matrix \( A \). The rows of \( A \) correspond to loops in the circuit and the columns denote the branches, where each branch is assigned a direction. We define the matrix \( A \) as follows:

\[
A_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 
+1 & \text{if branch } k \in \text{ loop } j, \text{ and is oriented clockwise} \\
-1 & \text{if branch } k \in \text{ loop } j, \text{ and is oriented counterclockwise} \\
0 & \text{if branch } k \notin \text{ loop } j.
\end{cases}
\]

(83)

The matrix \( A \) is in general singular, so we define a reduced matrix \( \bar{A} \) where we keep only the linearly independent rows. These rows correspond to all the independent loops in the circuit. Let us assume that there are \( p \) independent loops in the circuit, where \( p + 1 \) must be smaller than the number \( N \) of branches in the circuit. Furthermore, we assume that all currents \( I_j, j = 1, \ldots, N \) are nonzero. If some currents are zero, the corresponding branches play no part in the network and are completely eliminated. With the help of the matrix \( \bar{A} \), we now write the set of independent Kirchhoff’s loop laws as

\[
\bar{A}z = 0,
\]

(84)

where \( \mathbf{I} \) is the diagonal matrix \( \mathbf{I} = \text{diag}(I_1, I_2, \ldots I_N) \). Then, we have

\[
Bz = \begin{pmatrix} c^T \\ \bar{A} \end{pmatrix} I z = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Z \end{pmatrix}^T \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},
\]

(85)

where \( z \) is the vector of unknown impedances and \( c^T = (I_1, I_2, \ldots I_N) \). The matrix \( \bar{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times N} \), where \( p \leq N - 1 \) has \( p \) independent columns, and since \( I_i \neq 0, \forall i = 1, \ldots, N \), the matrix \( \bar{A} \) has \( p \) independent columns, as well. Hence, the matrix \( B \) has full rank and the linear system of equations (85) has a solution. Furthermore, in general, (85) has fewer equations than unknowns, so the solution can be nonunique. This means that we can choose \( N - p \) impedances, where the condition

\[
\text{real}(z_i) \geq 0, \ i = 1, \ldots N
\]

(86)

must be satisfied.

We now return to the example studied in section 5.1, where we found the equivalent resistor-inductor network shown in figure 7. In this example, the matrix \( A \) is

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

where we have two loops: IABCDAI and ABCD. Since the first loop contains the second, the matrix \( A \) has rank one, and

\[
\bar{A} = (0, 1, -1, 0).
\]

Furthermore,

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & I_1^2 & I_2^2 & 1 \\ 0 & I_1 & -I_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]
has two independent columns. It is a matter of simple algebra to check that one of
the solutions of (85) gives the network shown in figure 7.

We conclude this section with a note concerning the Dirichlet boundary conditions (8)
imposed on the magnetic field. We concentrated attention on the Dirichlet
boundary conditions that achieve the min-max in the variational principle (24). For
arbitrary Dirichlet boundary conditions, the analysis remains the same, except that
in the variational principles, there are additional equality constraints imposed on the
constant fields in the diffuse regions that contain a piece of the boundary. Due to
these constraints, the magnitude of the currents through the branches of the network
varies with the Dirichlet boundary conditions. However, the current density main-
tains its discrete behavior and follows the same path. Furthermore, the magnetic field
$H$ in the domain $\Omega$ and the effective impedance $Z'$ of the medium are determined
by discrete, min-max variational principles similar to (81), but with fixed, assigned
values of the constant fields $C_j$ and $D_j$ at the boundary $\partial \Omega$. Hence, the calculation of
$Z'$ and the asymptotic approximation of $H(x, y)$ in $\Omega$ remains essentially unchanged
for any Dirichlet boundary conditions. Furthermore, the network approximation de-
veloped in this section applies to arbitrary Dirichlet data. However, the currents and
the impedance associated with the branches of the network change with the bound-
ary conditions. The network can consist of resistors, inductors and capacitors. The
presence of capacitors in the network approximation is crucial because it allows for
rotational currents (eddies) that can develop for some Dirichlet boundary conditions.
An example that illustrates this last statement is considered in section 6.

$$\rho(x, y)$$
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**Fig. 10. Resistance of high contrast medium that has the network approximation shown in fig. 7**

**6. Numerical Computations.** In this section, we present the results of some
numerical experiments that illustrate the analysis carried out in the previous sections.
We solve the complex equation (6) with Dirichlet boundary conditions (5) in a square
domain $\Omega = [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 2\pi]$. We use a second order finite difference method and a
uniform discretization of the domain $\Omega$. In all the numerical calculations presented in
this section we use a mesh with 64 grid points in each direction. We also tested the
convergence by halving the mesh spacing and comparing the solutions. We check first
the asymptotic expression (55) of the magnetic field at the surface of a conductive
region, where $\frac{\omega \mu}{\gamma} \gg \frac{1}{\gamma}$. The first numerical experiment is also designed to test the
resistor-inductor network approximation given in section 5.1. We use the resistance
function $\rho(x)$ shown in figure 10, where the geometry is similar to the one shown in 6.
We have two horizontal saddle points \( \mathbf{x}_{S1} = (\frac{\pi}{7}, \pi), \mathbf{x}_{S2} = (\frac{3\pi}{7}, \pi) \) and one minimum \( \mathbf{x}_m = (\pi, \pi) \) in between. The contrast is \( 4.548 \times 10^4 \), \( c^2 = 0.15 \) and \( \omega \mu = 150 \). At the minimum, \( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho_0(\mathbf{x}_m)} = \frac{1}{10^{3.7}} \), where \( \alpha = 3.856 \). The curvatures of the scaled logarithm of \( \rho \) at the minimum are \( p = 1.1193 \) and \( q = 1.257 \) (see equation (41)). At the saddle points \( \mathbf{x}_{S1,2} \) we have \( \rho(\mathbf{x}_{S1}) = 333, \rho(\mathbf{x}_{S2}) = 663 \) and the curvatures are \( k_1^+ = 0.86, k_1^- = 0.94, k_2^+ = 0.8 \) and \( k_2^- = 1 \), respectively. Due to the symmetry with respect to the axis \( y = \pi \), equations (73) give \( C_1 = C_2 = \pi \) and \( D_1 = D_2 = 0 \), where we assume an input current \( I = 2\pi \). In the numerical experiment we consider a current source at \( \mathbf{x} = (0, \pi) \) and a sink at \( \mathbf{x} = (2\pi, \pi) \). We approximate point sources by Gaussian pulses and prescribe the boundary condition

\[
(87) \quad H(x, y) = -\pi \text{erf} \left( \frac{y - \pi}{0.1\sqrt{2}} \right), \text{ for } (x, y) \in \partial \Omega.
\]

The frequency \( \omega \) is chosen such that \( \omega \mu = 150 \) dominates the resistance only around the minimum \( \mathbf{x}_m \). Due to the different curvatures of \( \rho \) at the minimum, the boundary of the conductive region \( D_1 \) is an ellipse. Thus, for the purpose of comparison between the numerical and asymptotic magnetic field near \( D_1 \), we use the magnetic field derived from (51) through a conformal map that transforms the polar coordinates
\[ r = \sqrt{(x-x_m)^2 + (y-y_m)^2}, \] and \( \theta \) into the coordinates \( \xi \) and \( \alpha \) defined by

\[ \xi = \frac{r}{2} \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\theta - \beta) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \]

\[ \alpha = \arctan \left[ \frac{\tan \theta \left[ 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\theta - \beta) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2 \left( 1 - \frac{b^2}{r^2} \cos(2\theta) + \frac{b^4}{r^4} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cos(\theta - \beta) \right], \]

where \( \beta = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left[ \frac{\sin(2\theta)}{\cos(2\theta) - 2b} \right]. \) The focal distance of the ellipse is given by \( 2b = r_C \sqrt{\frac{p}{p}}, \) where \( r_C \) is defined in (52). The magnetic field is given by

\[ H(\xi, \alpha) \approx H_s(\xi, \alpha) \exp \left[ \frac{q r_E(r_E - \xi)}{2e^3} - \frac{q}{2} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sqrt{1 - 4i \frac{e^2}{q^2 r_E^2} e^{2it}} \, dt \right], \]
where \( r_E = \frac{r_{0E}}{2\sqrt{k}} (1 + \sqrt{k}) \). The asymptotic and numeric flow fields are shown in figures 11-14. We observe that the results are very similar and they show clearly the flow concentration through the network sketched in figure 7. Furthermore, the picture of the imaginary flow shows that near the strongly conductive region \( \Omega_1 \) there is imaginary current. However, the current changes direction through both the top and bottom layers and the net imaginary flux is zero. We test the asymptotic expression derived in section 4 for the magnetic field expelled by \( \Omega_1 \), by comparing the real and imaginary parts of \( H \) along the vertical line \( x = \pi \). We show the results in figures 15 and 16, where the asymptotic field is drawn with full line. The comparison is quite good near the conductive region \( \Omega_1 \) and slightly worse near the boundary. This is due to the lower term corrections that become important near \( \partial \Omega \), where \( \rho \) is almost flat (see figure 10). In the asymptotic approximation, we assume high contrast everywhere in the flow regime, so the error seen in figures 15 and 16 is to be expected. The resistance of the saddles is computed from (40): \( R_{s_1} = 318.5147 \) and \( R_{s_2} = 593.0052 \). The resistance and inductive reactance of the wires are computed by evaluating numerically the integrals in (56). We use Simpson’s rule for the interval \( t \in [0, 100] \), with 500 grid points. The results are \( R_{w_1} = R_{w_2} = 39.076 \)
and \( \omega L_{w1} = \omega L_{w2} = 39.324 \). The background inductive reactance is estimated to \( \omega \mu (S_1 + S_2) = 1110.3305 \). Thus, the asymptotic approximation of the impedance is \( \mathcal{Z} \approx 931.0579 - i \times 1129.87 \). The numerical value of the impedance is \( \mathcal{Z} = 957.1239 - i \times 1076.93 \). Hence the asymptotic resistance is accurate within 2.8% and the error in the inductance is 4.92%.

In the next numerical experiment we test the effect of the distributed inductive reactance on the currents in the branches of the network shown in figure 7. We keep all the parameters the same as before, but we move the critical points upwards, from \( y = \pi \) to \( y = 1.4 \pi \). The current source and sink are kept in the same position, but the value of \( H \) along \( \partial \Omega \) is changed according to (73). The real current flow is shown in figure 17 and, as predicted, the upper branch of smaller inductance has the strongest net flux. From (73) we obtain that

\[
\begin{aligned}
C_1 + iD_1 &\approx 4.40 - i0.017 \\
C_2 + iD_2 &\approx 1.88 + i0.017.
\end{aligned}
\]

Thus, in the upper and lower branches we have the net real currents of 4.40 and 1.88, respectively. The numerical fluxes are 4.23 and 1.69, respectively.

In the third numerical experiment, we consider the more complex network problem shown in figure 18. There are four horizontal saddle points: \( \mathbf{x}_{S1} = (\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}) \), \( \mathbf{x}_{S2} = (\frac{3\pi}{2}, \pi) \), \( \mathbf{x}_{S3} = (\frac{3\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}) \), \( \mathbf{x}_{S4} = (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi) \) and a vertical one \( \mathbf{x}_{S5} = (\pi, \pi) \). We also have two conductive regions \( \mathcal{D}_{1,2} \) surrounding the minima \( \mathbf{x}_{m1} = (\pi, \frac{3\pi}{2}) \) and \( \mathbf{x}_{m2} = (\pi, \frac{\pi}{2}) \). The resistance at the horizontal saddle points is given by \( \rho(\mathbf{x}_{S4}) = 385, \ i = 1, \ldots, 4 \) and \( \rho(\mathbf{x}_{S5}) = 123.456 \). At the minima, \( \rho(\mathbf{x}_{m1}) = 0.37 \) and \( \omega \mu = 100 \). The contrast in \( \rho \) is \( 5.4 \times 10^4 \) and \( \epsilon^2 = 0.15 \). The symmetry of the problem leads to the solution (see figure 18)

\[
\begin{aligned}
C_1 &= -\pi \\
C_2 &= C_3 = 0 \\
C_4 &= \pi \\
D_1 &= D_2 = D_3 = D_4 = 0,
\end{aligned}
\]

where we assume the same boundary conditions (87). The real and imaginary flows are shown in figures 19 and 20 and it is clear that the network consists of the horizontal
saddles and the wires of impedance $Z_{w1} = i\omega \mu S_1$ and $Z_{w2} = i\omega \mu S_2$. The rest of the wires, as well as the vertical saddle have no current through them, so they do not have any contribution to the network.

In the final experiment presented in this section, we illustrate the effect of the Dirichlet boundary conditions on the network approximation. This was discussed at the end of section 5.2. We return to the first experiment presented in this section, where we keep all the parameters the same but change the boundary conditions (87) to

$$H(x, y) = -3.3 - \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{y - \pi}{0.1\sqrt{2}}\right), \text{ for } (x, y) \in \partial \Omega.$$ 

The real flow is shown in figure 21 and, as explained in section 5.2, it is different from the flow through the network shown in figure 12. Furthermore, we observe that in the lower branch we have countergradient flow which leads to a rotational current around the conductive region surrounding the minimum at $x_m = (\pi, \pi)$. The currents through the wires are $I_{w1} = 6.4416$ and $I_{w2} = -0.1584$, so from Kirchhoff’s loop law we obtain $6.4416Z_{w1} = -0.1584Z_{w2}$. Since the rotational current is real, the impedance associated with each branch in the loop around the minimum is strictly imaginary, where one wire is inductive and the other is capacitive. Thus, in general, the asymptotic network is not restricted to resistors and inductors. The presence of capacitors is important because it allows for rotational currents (edies) to form.

7. Summary and Conclusions. We have shown both analytically and numerically that quasistatic transport in a high contrast continuum has a discrete behavior. The flow concentrates at saddle points of the resistance and around very conductive regions that expel the magnetic field. Due to this discrete behavior, the effective impedance of high contrast media is approximated by discrete, min-max variational principles in terms of the constant values of the magnetic field in the regions of diffuse flow. Hence, the magnetic field in a high contrast continuum is given by the solution of a linear system of equations. Furthermore, we show that quasistatic transport in a high contrast conductive medium can be approximated by flow through a resistor-inductor-capacitor network. The topology of the network is a modification of the static one, where strongly conductive regions are taken out and replaced by wires along their boundaries. The problem of associating to each branch in the network an impedance is a little more subtle. One has to solve a linear, full rank system of equations. In general, this system is underdetermined so there may be more than one network that give the same effective impedance and have the same currents through their branches.

We have also demonstrated the effect of the frequency $\omega$ on the transport properties of high contrast conductive media. We have shown that, for low frequencies, transport is very similar to the static one. The flow follows the path given by the static resistor network, although the magnitude of the currents is slightly different. As the frequency increases, the currents through the branches of the static network change even more. For even higher frequencies, there are regions in the domain that expel the magnetic field and the topology of the network changes. The quasistatic transport problem in conductive media admits various variational formulations, as we show in the appendix. We use in the analysis min-max variational formulations of the effective impedance of the medium. We have restricted attention to two dimensional problems but extensions to more dimensions can be done. The results obtained in this paper are not limited to a high contrast continuum, but they apply to isotropic materials with discontinuous, high contrast resistance, as well. Such an example is
the high contrast medium with cylindrical inclusions considered in section 3.

**Appendix: Variational Principles for Quasistatic Transport in Conductive Media.** In this section we introduce some variational formulations for the problem of quasistatic transport in conductive media. We derive variational principles of saddle-point and Dirichlet type and show their connection with the variational principles (25) introduced in section 2.3. Recall from section 2.1, equations (3), that

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{\rho} \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R &= \mathbf{E}_R \\
\mathbf{\rho} \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I &= \mathbf{E}_I \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R &= -\omega \mu \mathbf{H}_R \\
\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_I &= \omega \mu \mathbf{H}_R \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_R &= \nabla \cdot \mathbf{H}_I = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(89)

We define the electric and magnetic fields as

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{H} &= \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_R + i \mathbf{A}_I \\
\mathbf{E} &= \nabla \phi + iomega \mu \mathbf{A}, \quad \phi = \phi_R + i \phi_I,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \mathbf{A} \) is the vector, magnetic potential and \( \phi \) can be viewed as a scalar, electric potential. With definitions (90), equations (89) can be rewritten as

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \phi_R &= \mathbf{\rho} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_R + \omega \mu \mathbf{A}_I \\
\nabla \phi_I &= \mathbf{\rho} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_I - \omega \mu \mathbf{A}_R.
\end{align*}
\]

(91)

The system of equations (91) is the basis of our derivation of various variational principles of saddle-point and Dirichlet type. These variational principles are shown to be interrelated via Legendre transformations of convex or saddle functionals, taken over the real or imaginary potentials \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \phi \), respectively. Furthermore, the Legendre transformations are shown to be equivalent to excluding pairs of potentials in the system of equations (91).

Let us start by excluding the scalar potentials \( \phi_R \) and \( \phi_I \) in (91). We take the curl in the equations (91) and obtain the system

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \nabla \phi_R \times \mathbf{A}_R + \omega \mu \mathbf{A}_I &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \nabla \phi_I \times \mathbf{A}_I - \omega \mu \mathbf{A}_R &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

that is equivalent to the system of Euler equations (22) corresponding to the variational principle

\[
R' = \min_{\mathbf{H}_R \|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_R} \max_{\mathbf{H}_I \|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_I} \ U_{AA},
\]

(93)

where the superindex \( \| \) denotes the tangential components at the boundary surface and

\[
U_{AA} = \int_\Omega \left[ \rho \left( | \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R |^2 - | \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I |^2 \right) + 2 \omega \mu | \mathbf{H}_R \cdot \mathbf{H}_I | \right] d\mathbf{x}.
\]

(94)

With \( \mathbf{H}_R = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}_R \) we obtain

\[
U_{AA} = \int_\Omega g(\mathbf{A}_R, \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I) d\mathbf{x} + 2 \omega \mu \int_{\partial \Omega} (\mathbf{A}_R \times \psi_I) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds,
\]

(95)
where
\[
g(A_R, \nabla \times H_I) = \rho \mid \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R \mid^2 - \rho \mid \nabla \times H_I \mid^2 + 2\omega \mu A_R \cdot \nabla \times H_I.
\]

We take the Legendre transformation of the saddle functional \( g(\cdot, \cdot) \), over the imaginary current \( j_t = \nabla \times H_I \):
\[
\tilde{g}(A_R, G) = \max_{j_t} [2j_t \cdot G + g(A_R, j_t)],
\]
where \( \tilde{g}(\cdot, \cdot) \) is conjugate about the second variable to the saddle-type function \( g(\cdot, \cdot) \).

The field \( G \) that is conjugate to the imaginary current \( j_t \) is given by
\[
G = \rho j_t - \omega \mu A_R = \nabla \phi_I
\]
and the conjugate functional is
\[
\tilde{g}(A_R, G) = \rho \mid \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R \mid^2 + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_R \cdot A_R + \frac{1}{\rho} G \cdot G + 2\frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \cdot G.
\]

The Legendre transformation (97) is equivalent to eliminating the pair of potentials \( A_I \) and \( \phi_R \) and rewriting the system (91) as
\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \right] &= 0, \\
\nabla \times \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_R + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \cdot \nabla \phi_I + 2\frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \cdot \phi_I &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]
with boundary conditions
\[
\begin{align*}
A_R^n &= \gamma_R, \quad (\nabla \times A_R)_n = \psi_R, \quad \text{where} \ \gamma_R \quad \text{and} \ \psi_R \quad \text{are given along} \ \partial \Omega, \\
\n\quad j_t \cdot n &= \frac{1}{p} (\nabla \phi_I + \omega \mu A_R) \cdot n = 0, \quad \text{for} \ x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

We have the following variational formulation of the resistance of the medium:
\[
R = \min_{A_R} \min_{\phi_I} U_{A_R},
\]
where
\[
U_{A_R} = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \rho \mid \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R \mid^2 + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_R \cdot A_R + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \cdot \nabla \phi_I + 2\frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \cdot \nabla \phi_I \right] dx.
\]

The proof of the variational principle (102) is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1.

We consider the first variation in (102):
\[
\delta U_{A_R} = \int_{\Omega} \rho A_R \cdot \left[ \nabla \times \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_R + \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right] dx -
\]
\[
\int_{\partial \Omega} \delta \phi_I \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \right) ds + \int \delta A_R \times \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R \cdot n ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} \delta \phi_I \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \phi_I + \omega \mu A_R) \right] \cdot n ds = 0.
\]

The boundary conditions imposed on the tangential components of \( A_R \) and \( \nabla \times A_R \)
require that, for \( x \in \partial \Omega, \delta A_R^n = 0 \) and \( (\nabla \times \delta A_R)_n = 0 \). Hence, the first two boundary integrals in (103) vanish and we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} \rho A_R \cdot \left[ \nabla \times \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times A_R + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_R + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right] dx -
\]
\[
\int_{\Omega} \delta \phi_I \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_R \right) dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \delta \phi_I \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \phi_I + \omega \mu A_R) \right] \cdot n ds = 0,
\]
for any variations $\delta A_R$ and $\delta \phi_I$. Hence, the Euler equations that the minimizers satisfy are exactly (100). The minimal structure of the variational principle follows from the second variation

$$
\delta^2 U_{A\phi} = \int_{\Omega} \rho \left| \nabla \times \nabla \times \delta A_R \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \omega \mu \delta A_R + \nabla \delta \phi_I \right|^2 \, dx > 0.
$$

Furthermore, at the minimum, $U_{A\phi}$ equals the effective resistance of the medium (see definition (14)).

We consider next, instead of (97), a Legendre transformation over the real current

$$
\max_J \left[ 2\nabla \phi_R \cdot \bar{j}_R - f(\bar{j}_R, A_I) \right],
$$

where $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ is defined by $f(\bar{j}_R, A_I) = \rho \left| \bar{j}_R \right|^2 - \rho \left| \nabla \times A_I \right|^2 + 2\omega \mu A_I \cdot \bar{j}_R$. The Legendre transformation (106) is equivalent to eliminating the pair of potentials $A_R$ and $\phi_I$ in (91). Thus, we obtain the Euler equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_R - \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_I \right] &= 0, \\
\nabla \times \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times A_I + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_I - \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \nabla \phi_R &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{cases}
A^I = \gamma_I, & (\nabla \times A_I)^I = \psi_I, \quad \text{where } \gamma_I \text{ and } \psi_I \text{ are given along } \partial \Omega \\
\bar{j}_R \cdot \mathbf{n} = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \nabla \phi_R - \omega \mu A_I \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = I_R, & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
$$

We have the following minimum variational principle

$$
\min_{\nabla \phi_R} \min_{A_I} U_{\phi A},
$$

where

$$
U_{\phi A} = \int_{\Omega} \rho \left| \nabla \times \nabla \times A_I \right|^2 + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} A_I \left| \nabla \phi_R \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left| A_I \right|^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \nabla \phi_R \right|^2 - 2 \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} A_I \cdot \nabla \phi_R \, dx
$$

$$
- \int_{\partial \Omega} \phi_R I_R ds.
$$

At the minimum, we calculate the effective resistance of the medium as

$$
R = U_{\phi A} + \int_{\partial \Omega} \phi_R I_R ds.
$$

Finally, we derive a variational principle in terms of the scalar potentials $\phi_R$ and $\phi_I$. Simple calculations show that by eliminating $A_R$ and $A_I$ in (91) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_R \right) - \omega \mu \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right) + (\omega \mu)^3 \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \Gamma \rho \Gamma + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} I \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right] &= 0, \\
\nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right) + \omega \mu \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\rho} \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_R \right) - (\omega \mu)^3 \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \Gamma \rho \Gamma + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{\rho} I \right)^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_R \right] &= 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $I$ is the identity, the operator $\Gamma = \nabla \times \nabla \times$ is symmetric and positive definite and we assume, for simplicity, Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$
\begin{cases}
\phi_R = \alpha_R, \\
\phi_I = \alpha_I, & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
$$
Then, we have the following saddle-point variational principle

\[
M = \min_{\phi_n} \max_{\phi_I} U_{\phi},
\]

where

\[
U_{\phi} = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \nabla \phi_R \right|^2 - \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \nabla \phi_I \right|^2 - 2\omega \mu \nabla \phi_R \cdot \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right| + 2(\omega \mu)^3 \nabla \phi_R \cdot \frac{1}{\rho} \left| \Gamma^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} (\Gamma \rho \Gamma + \left( \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} \right)^2 I) \right|^{-1} \frac{1}{\rho} \nabla \phi_I \right] dx.
\]

Furthermore, at the saddle point, the effective resistance is given by

\[
R' = M + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\gamma_I \times \psi_R + \gamma_R \times \psi_I) \cdot \mathbf{n} ds,
\]

where \( \gamma = \gamma_R + i\gamma_I \) and \( \psi = \psi_R + i\psi_I \) denote the components of \( \mathbf{A} \) and \( \mathbf{H} \) that are tangent to the boundary surface \( \partial \Omega \).

The above variational principles are interrelated via Legendre transformations that are equivalent to excluding various pairs of potentials in the system of equations (91). The relations between the variational principles are explained by the following: The min-max variational principle

\[
\min_{\mathbf{H}_n} \max_{\mathbf{H}_i} U_{\mathbf{A}A}
\]

is transformed through a Legendre transformation over \( \mathbf{A}_I \) (see (97)) into

\[
\min_{\mathbf{A}_n} \min_{\phi_N} U_{\mathbf{A}A}.
\]

Next, we consider a Legendre transformation over \( \mathbf{A}_R \) or, equivalently, eliminate \( \mathbf{A}_R \) and \( \mathbf{A}_I \) in (91) to obtain another min-max principle, that is equivalent to (113):

\[
\min_{\phi_I} \max_{\phi_N} (-U_{\phi}).
\]

Finally, we take a Legendre transformation over \( \phi_I \) and (117) becomes

\[
\max_{\phi_N} \max_{\mathbf{A}_I} (-U_{\phi A}),
\]

which is equivalent to (110).

All the above variational formulations give the effective resistance of the medium. Next, we derive a minimum variational formulation of the effective inductive reactance. We start with the min-max variational principle

\[
X' = \min_{\mathbf{D}|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_n - \psi_I} \max_{\mathbf{B}|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_n + \psi_I} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[ \frac{\rho}{2} \left| \nabla \times \mathbf{D} \right|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2} \left| \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \right|^2 + \omega \mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right] dx,
\]

which we rewrite as

\[
X' = \min_{\mathbf{D}|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_n - \psi_I} \max_{\mathbf{B}|_{\partial \Omega} = \psi_n + \psi_I} \int_{\partial \Omega} \left[ \frac{\rho}{2} \left| \nabla \times \mathbf{D} \right|^2 - \frac{\rho}{2} \left| \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \right|^2 + \omega \mu \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right] dx,
\]

(119)
where the fields
\begin{align} 
B &= H_R + H_I \\
D &= H_R - H_I 
\end{align}

satisfy the Euler equations
\begin{align}
\nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times B) &= \omega \mu D \\
\nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times D) &= -\omega \mu B.
\end{align}

Clearly, both \(B\) and \(D\) are divergence free fields, so we write
\begin{align}
D &= \nabla \times C = \nabla \times (A_R - A_I).
\end{align}

Then, (119) becomes
\begin{align}
X' + \omega \mu \int_{\partial \Omega} [(\psi_R + \psi_I) \times (\gamma_R - \gamma_I)] \, ds = \min_C \max_{\nabla \times B} \int_\Omega h(C, \nabla \times B) \, dx,
\end{align}

where \(h(C, \nabla \times B) = \frac{\rho}{2} |\nabla \times \nabla \times C|^2 - \frac{\omega \mu}{2} |\nabla \times B|^2 + \omega \mu C \cdot \nabla \times B\) and the boundary conditions imposed on the potential \(C\) are
\begin{align}
\nabla \times C|_{\partial \Omega} &= \gamma_R - \gamma_I, \\
(\nabla \times C)\parallel &= \psi_R - \psi_I, \text{ for } x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align}

We take the Legendre transformation of the saddle functional \(h(\cdot, \cdot)\), over the current \(\nabla \times B\) and obtain
\begin{align}
\tilde{h}(C, \nabla \eta) = \max_{\nabla \times B} [\nabla \eta \cdot \nabla \times B + h(C, \nabla \times B)],
\end{align}

where \(\nabla \eta = \rho \nabla \times B - \omega \mu C = \nabla \phi_R + \nabla \phi_I\) and
\begin{align}
\tilde{h}(C, \nabla \eta) = \frac{\rho}{2} |\nabla \times \nabla \times C|^2 + \frac{(\omega \mu)^2}{2\rho} |C|^2 + \frac{1}{2\rho} |\nabla \eta|^2 + \frac{\omega \mu}{\rho} C \cdot \nabla \eta.
\end{align}

We have the following minimum variational principle
\begin{align}
K = \min_C \min_{\eta} \int_\Omega \tilde{h}(C, \nabla \eta) \, dx - \int_{\partial \Omega} \eta I_R \, ds,
\end{align}

where we impose the boundary conditions: \(I_R = j_R \cdot n = (j_R + j_I) \cdot n = \frac{1}{\rho} (\nabla \eta + \omega \mu C) \cdot n\), for \(x \in \partial \Omega\). Furthermore, at the minimum, the inductive reactance \(X'\) is given by
\begin{align}
X' = K - \int_{\partial \Omega} [(\psi_R + \psi_I) \times (\nabla \phi_R + \nabla \phi_I + \omega \mu (\gamma_R - \gamma_I)] \cdot n \, ds + \int_{\partial \Omega} (\phi_R + \phi_I) I_R \, ds.
\end{align}

Similarly, we can obtain other variational formulations of the inductive reactance, through various Legendre transformations or, equivalently, various exclusions of pairs of potentials in the system of equations
\begin{align}
\begin{cases}
\rho \nabla \times \nabla \times (A_R - A_I) + \omega \mu (A_R + A_I) = \nabla (\phi_R - \phi_I) \\
\rho \nabla \times \nabla \times (A_R + A_I) - \omega \mu (A_R - A_I) = \nabla (\phi_R + \phi_I).
\end{cases}
\end{align}

All the Dirichlet type variational principles involve a double minimization over the magnetic and electric potentials. However, we observe that if instead of eliminating
pairs of potentials in (91) we exclude three potentials at once, we obtain higher order variational principles that involve a single minimization. For example, we eliminate \( A_I, \phi_R \) and \( \phi_I \) in (91) and obtain the equation for the real magnetic field:

\[(\omega \mu)^2 \mathbf{H}_R + \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R = 0, \]

with boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathbf{H}_R^{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}) = \psi_R(\mathbf{x}) \\
(\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R)^{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}) = \chi_R(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, (128) is the Euler equation of the minimal variational formulation of the effective inductive reactance of the medium:

\[
X = \min_{\mathbf{H}_I} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \omega \mu \mathbf{H}_R \cdot \mathbf{H}_R + \frac{1}{\omega \mu} \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R) \cdot \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R) \right] d\mathbf{x},
\]

Similarly, the inductive reactance \( X \) is also given by

\[
X = \min_{\mathbf{H}_I} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \omega \mu \mathbf{H}_I \cdot \mathbf{H}_I + \frac{1}{\omega \mu} \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I) \cdot \nabla \times (\rho \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_I) \right] d\mathbf{x},
\]

where the imaginary magnetic field satisfies

\[(\omega \mu)^2 \mathbf{H}_I + \nabla \times \rho \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R = 0 \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \Omega \]

\[
\begin{align*}
(\mathbf{H}_R^{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}) &= \psi_I(\mathbf{x}) \\
(\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_R)^{\parallel}(\mathbf{x}) &= \chi_I(\mathbf{x}), \text{ for } \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Finally, we derive variational principles in terms of the electric fields. We rewrite the equations (89) as

\[
\begin{align*}
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R + \omega \mu \sigma \mathbf{E}_I &= 0 \\
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_I - \omega \mu \sigma \mathbf{E}_R &= 0,
\end{align*}
\]

which is the system of Euler equations associated with the min-max variational principles

\[
R' = \min_{\mathbf{E}_R^* \left|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{\xi}_n} \max_{\mathbf{E}_I^* \left|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{\xi}_n} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sigma(|\mathbf{E}_R|^2 - |\mathbf{E}_I|^2) - \frac{2}{\omega \mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_I \right] d\mathbf{x},
\]

\[
X' = \min_{\mathbf{E}_I^* \left|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{\xi}_n} \max_{\mathbf{E}_R^* \left|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathbf{\xi}_n} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{\omega \mu} (|\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R|^2 - |\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_I|^2) + 2 \sigma \mathbf{E}_R \cdot \mathbf{E}_I \right] d\mathbf{x},
\]

where we specify Dirichlet boundary conditions for the electric field \( \mathbf{E}_R^\parallel \) that is tangential to the surface \( \partial \Omega \). The proof of (134) is very similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1, section 2.3. Next, we eliminate \( \mathbf{E}_I \) in (133):

\[
\nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R + \frac{1}{\sigma} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R + (\omega \mu)^2 \sigma \mathbf{E}_R = 0.
\]

Equation (91) is the Euler equation of the variational formulation of the effective resistance of the medium, obtained by Berryman and Papanicolaou\(^1\)

\[
R = \min_{\mathbf{E}_R} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma \mathbf{E}_R \cdot \mathbf{E}_R + \frac{1}{\sigma \omega^2 \mu^2} \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R \cdot \nabla \times \nabla \times \mathbf{E}_R \right) d\mathbf{x}.
\]

\(^1\)Personal communication
The imaginary part \( E_I \) of the electric field satisfies a similar variational principle

\[
R = \min_{E_I} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sigma E_I \cdot E_I + \frac{1}{\sigma \omega^2 \mu^2} \nabla \times \nabla \times E_I \right) dx,
\]

where the Euler equation \( \nabla \times \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\sigma \omega^2 \mu^2} \nabla \times \nabla \times E_I \right) = 0 \) is obtained by eliminating \( E_R \) in (133). The fourth order Euler equations (like (refA52)) require the specification along the boundary of both \( E_I \) and \( (\nabla \times E_I) \)\|, where the superindex \( \| \) denotes the components tangential to the surface \( \partial \Omega \).

We conclude this appendix with the note that even though there are multiple variational formulations for the problem of quasistatic transport in conductive media, we find the saddle-point principles (25) most convenient to use in our analysis. Other variational principles of Dirichlet type are more difficult to use in the analysis because of either the higher order of the Euler equations or the nonlinearity in the high contrast function \( \rho(\mathbf{x}) \).
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