

Effect of correlations on information – example calculation

We are interested in the impact of uniform correlations of magnitude c on the Fisher information in a population, assuming correlated Poisson variability.

Correlation matrix (N -dimensional):

$$\mathbf{C} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & c \\ c & 1 & c \\ c & c & 1 \end{pmatrix}}_{N \text{ dimensions}} = (1-c)\mathbf{I} + c\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T,$$

where \mathbf{I} is the identity matrix and $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)^T$.

Fisher information in terms of the covariance matrix Σ :

$$I(s) = \mathbf{f}'^T(s) \Sigma^{-1}(s) \mathbf{f}'(s)$$

Relation between covariance matrix and correlation matrix:

$$C_{ij} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sigma_i \sigma_j} = \frac{\Sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{f_i f_j}}, \text{ since we assume Poisson variability (variance = mean). This can be}$$

written as

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{f_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{f_N} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{C} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{f_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{f_N} \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore Fisher information is

$$I = \mathbf{f}'^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{f}' = \mathbf{f}'^T \begin{pmatrix} f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} f_1^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f_N^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{f}' = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_1'}{\sqrt{f_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{f_N'}{\sqrt{f_N}} \end{pmatrix}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{f_1'}{\sqrt{f_1}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{f_N'}{\sqrt{f_N}} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{C}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

where we denote $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \left(\frac{f_1'}{\sqrt{f_1}}, \dots, \frac{f_N'}{\sqrt{f_N}} \right)^T$.

The vector $\mathbf{1}$ is an eigenvector of \mathbf{C} with eigenvalue $1 + (N-1)c$.

Now observe that C is symmetric. Therefore, its eigenvectors are all orthogonal and right and left eigenvectors are the same. Any other eigenvector than $\mathbf{1}$ must be orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$. Conversely, all vectors that are orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ are eigenvectors:

$$C\boldsymbol{\chi} = (1-c)\mathbf{1}\boldsymbol{\chi} + c\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T\boldsymbol{\chi} = (1-c)\boldsymbol{\chi}$$

All these eigenvectors have eigenvalue $1-c$. The eigenvalue $1-c$ has eigenspace with dimension $N-1$ and this space is orthogonal to the vector $\mathbf{1}$. All vectors orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$ are eigenvectors and any orthogonal set of vectors which span the eigenspace can be used.

If the tuning curves are symmetric, then $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{1}$. Therefore, $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $1-c$. We normalize it to obtain $\boldsymbol{\chi}_0 = \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}}{\sqrt{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}}}$.

We can diagonalize C as $C = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{X}^{-1}$, with \mathbf{X} a matrix of orthonormal eigenvectors and $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues. Because the eigenvectors are orthonormal, $\mathbf{X}^T = \mathbf{X}^{-1}$ and $C = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\mathbf{X}^T$, or in other words $C = \sum_i \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\chi}_i \boldsymbol{\chi}_i^T$. Similarly, $C^{-1} = \sum_i \lambda_i^{-1} \boldsymbol{\chi}_i \boldsymbol{\chi}_i^T$.

Information is therefore

$$I = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T C^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \sum_i \lambda_i \boldsymbol{\chi}_i \boldsymbol{\chi}_i^T \boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{1-c} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}\boldsymbol{\mu}^T}{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T\boldsymbol{\mu}} \boldsymbol{\mu} = \frac{1}{1-c} \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

For $c = 0.2$, the minimal variance of estimates will be 20% smaller than if $c=0$.

Note also that

$$I_{\text{shuffled}}(s) = \sum_i \frac{(f_i'(s))^2}{f_i(s)} = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}$$

This is the amount of information contained in a population in which individual neurons have the same response distributions as above, but are uncorrelated. (This is achieved by shuffling the trials.)

Furthermore,

$$I_{\text{diag}}(s) = \frac{(\mathbf{f}'^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \mathbf{f}')^2}{\mathbf{f}'^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{diag}}^{-1} \mathbf{f}'} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu})^2}{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{diag}}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\text{diag}}^{-1/2} \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{(\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu})^2}{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T C \boldsymbol{\mu}} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{\mu}}{1-c} = I(s)$$

This is the amount of information that would be extracted from the correlated population when using a suboptimal decoder that is optimal for the shuffled (decorrelated) responses.