

Last time: "plain" QR iteration \Leftrightarrow block power iteration

$$A_0 = A$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{cases} Q_k, R_k = \text{qr}(A_k) \\ A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k \end{cases}$$

Why is it slow?

- ① $QR = O(n^3)$ cost per QR factorization \rightarrow ^{Upper} Hessenberg
- ② Can require many iterations \rightarrow shifts.

- ① Upper Hessenberg form: pre-factorize A ($O(n^3)$ cost)
 $\Rightarrow A = U H U^*$ via Householder reflectors

$$\text{w/ } H_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } i > j+1$$

$$\hookrightarrow H = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

Construction via Householder reflectors.

$QR(H) \rightarrow$ using Householder structure or Givens rotations (for matrices w/ sparsity)

$\rightarrow O(n)$ cost for QR

Recall Householder reflectors for QR:

$$Q_1 A = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x \end{array} \right]$$

Want $\underbrace{Q_1 A Q_1^*}_{=} = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} x & x \\ 0 & x \\ 0 & x \\ 0 & x \end{array} \right] Q_1^*$

$$= \left(Q_1 \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} x & 0 \\ x & x \end{array} \right] \right)^*$$

\Leftarrow dense matrix = useless

Define Q_1 via Householder : $A = \left[\begin{array}{c} -a_1 \\ \vdots \\ A_1 \end{array} \right]$

Let $\tilde{Q}_1 A_1 = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \end{array} \right]$

$$\left[\begin{array}{c|c} I & \tilde{Q}_1 \\ \hline H & \end{array} \right] A = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \end{array} \right]$$

\tilde{Q}_1

$$Q_1 A Q_1^* = \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \end{array} \right] \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I & \\ \hline H & \tilde{Q}_1 \end{array} \right]$$

$\underbrace{Q_1 A}_{Q_1^*}$

$$Q_1 A Q_1^* = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccccc} x & x & x & x & x \\ x & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \\ \vdots & x & x & x & x \\ 0 & x & x & x & x \end{array} \right]$$

$$\text{Repeat} \Rightarrow Q_2 Q_1 A Q_1^* Q_2^* = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X & XX \\ X & X & X & XX \\ 0 & X & X & XX \\ 0 & 0 & X & XX \\ 0 & 0 & X & XX \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{Q_{n-2} \dots Q_1}_U A \underbrace{Q_1^* Q_2^* \dots Q_{n-2}^*}_U = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X & XX & X \\ X & X & X & XX & X \\ X & X & X & XX & X \\ 0 & X & X & XX & X \\ 0 & 0 & X & XX & X \end{bmatrix}$$

$$U^* A U = H \Rightarrow A = U H U^*$$

$$QR(H) = O(n)$$

\Rightarrow cost of QR = $O(n) \times \text{number of iterations}$

Practical QR algorithm:

$$H_0 = U^* A U$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} Q_k R_k = g_r (H_k - \mu_k I) \\ H_{k+1} = R_k Q_k + \mu_k I \end{array} \right.$$

Note $R_k Q_k$ = ^{retains} upper Hessenberg form
 (see homework)
 Q_k = upper Hessenberg.

Practical QR \Leftrightarrow shifted inverse iter.

① Practical QR \Leftrightarrow inverse iteration
w/out shifts.

QR iter : constructs $A^k = \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{R}_k$
where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}_k &= Q_1 \dots Q_k & (Q_i, R_i \text{ from } \\ \tilde{R}_k &= R_1 \dots R_k & \text{QR iter})\end{aligned}$$

QR iter also factorizes A^{-k} implicitly!

Assume $A = A^T$ for simplicity

$$A^{-k} = \tilde{R}_k^{-1} \tilde{Q}_k^T. \quad \text{Since } A = A^T,$$

$$(A^{-k})^T = \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{R}_k^{-T}. \quad \text{Note } \tilde{R}_k^{-T} = \text{lower tri. matrix}$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{bmatrix} = \text{reverses order}$$

Since $R^{-1} = \text{upper triangular}$

$$\text{Note } P^2 = I.$$

$$(\tilde{A}^{-k})^T P = \underbrace{\tilde{Q}_k}_{\text{still orthonormal}} P \underbrace{\tilde{R}_k^{-T} P}$$

still orthonormal

$$= P \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X \\ X & X & X \\ X & X & X \end{bmatrix} P$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X \\ X & X & X \\ X & X & X \end{bmatrix} P$$

$$P \tilde{R}_k^{-T} P = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X \\ & X & X \\ & & X \end{bmatrix} \text{ upper tri.}$$

\Rightarrow QR after also constructing
a "permuted" QR factorization
of A^{-k}

Shifts: $Q_k R_k = A_{k-1} - \mu_k I$

$$A_k = R_k Q_k + \mu_k I$$

$$R_k = Q_k^* A_{k-1} - \mu_k Q_k^*$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= (Q_k^* A_{k-1} - \mu_k Q_k^*) Q_k + \mu_k I \\ &= Q_k^* A_{k-1} Q_k - \mu_k \underbrace{Q_k^* Q_k}_{I} + \mu_k I \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_k = Q_k^* A_{k-1} Q_k + I$$

TURNS OUT shifts change $A^k = \tilde{Q}_k \tilde{R}_k$ to

$$\tilde{Q}_k \tilde{R}_k = (A - \mu_k I)(A - \mu_{k-1} I) \dots (A - \mu_1 I)$$

By relation to inverse iteration,

$$(\tilde{Q}_k P)(P \tilde{R}_k P) = \prod_{j=1}^k (A - \mu_j I)^{-1}$$

Recall RQI: shifted inverse iter. with

$$\mu_k = \frac{v_k^* A v_k}{v_{k-1}^* v_{k-1}} . \quad v_k \rightarrow \text{eigen vector as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

How to mimic w/ QR? Recall \tilde{Q}_k
columns will converge to eigenvectors for $A = A^T$

Since $\tilde{Q}_k = Q_1 \dots Q_k$ $A = A^T$

and $A_k = \tilde{Q}_k^* A \tilde{Q}_k$. If $A_k \rightarrow \text{diagonal}$

$$\Rightarrow A_k = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{Q}_k^* A Q_k$$

$$\Rightarrow Q_k (\star) Q_k^* = I$$

Consider $g_m = m^{\text{th}}$ col. of \tilde{Q}_k ,

$$\text{Want } q_m^* A q_m = \mu_k$$

$$\text{But } (A_k)_{mm} = (\tilde{Q}_k^* A \tilde{Q}_k)_{mm}$$

$$e_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow m\text{th entry} = e_m^* \tilde{Q}_k^* A \tilde{Q}_k e_m \\ = q_m^* A q_m$$

\Rightarrow Pick a diag entry of A_k
as the shift.