

Last time: linear multistep methods

① $x_{k+2} - \frac{3}{2}x_{k+1} + \frac{1}{2}x_k = \frac{h}{4}(5f_{k+1} - 3f_k)$ looked stable

② $x_{k+2} - 3x_{k+1} + 2x_k = \frac{h}{2}(f_{k+1} - 3f_k)$ looked unstable

What makes these different?

Even blows up for $f(x,t) = 0$ if you perturb the initial iterates x_0, x_1 slightly (e.g. by 10^{-15}).

Assuming $f(x,t) = 0$

$$x_{k+2} - 3x_{k+1} + 2x_k = 0$$

$$x_{k+2} = 3x_{k+1} - 2x_k \Rightarrow \text{Analyze AHDs.}$$

Similar update (constructed just for illustration)

$$x_{k+2} = 2x_k - x_{k+1}, \text{ assume } x_0 = 0 \\ x_1 = \epsilon$$

$$x_2 = 2(0) - \epsilon = -\epsilon$$

$$x_3 = 2x_1 - x_2 = 2\epsilon + \epsilon = 3\epsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 x_4 &= 2x_2 - x_3 = -2\epsilon - 3\epsilon = -5\epsilon \\
 x_5 &= 2x_3 - x_4 = 6\epsilon + 5\epsilon = 11\epsilon \\
 x_6 &\quad \dots \quad = -21\epsilon \\
 x_7 &\quad \dots \quad = 43\epsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

Sign alternates & roughly doubles in magnitude

$$x_k \propto ((-2)^k - 1)\epsilon \Rightarrow \text{take as ansatz}$$

Assume $\underbrace{x_k}_{\text{certain type of solution}} = \gamma^k$ for γ constant

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Plug into LMM: } x_{k+2} &= 2x_k - x_{k+1} \\
 \gamma^{k+2} &= 2\gamma^k - \gamma^{k+1} \\
 \Rightarrow \text{if } \gamma \neq 0 \quad \gamma^2 &= 2 - \gamma
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{quadr. egn } \gamma = -2 \text{ or } 1 \quad (\text{roots of quadratic})$$

Can have

$$\Rightarrow x_k = (-2)^k \Rightarrow \frac{x_0}{x_1} = \frac{0}{\epsilon} \text{ admits a possible solution which blows up exponentially}$$

$$\underline{\text{Generalization: }} x_k = A\gamma_1^k + B\gamma_2^k$$

$$\gamma_1, \gamma_2 = \text{const} \text{ (we had 2 roots)}$$

Plug into LMM.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A\gamma_1^{k+2} + B\gamma_2^{k+2}) &= 2(A\gamma_1^k + B\gamma_2^k) \\
 &\quad - (A\gamma_1^{k+1} + B\gamma_2^{k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

for any initial history x_0, x_1 , can derive
 $\lambda, \beta, \gamma_1, \gamma_2$ come.

$$x_0 = 0, x_1 = \varepsilon \Rightarrow \gamma_1, \gamma_2 = -\gamma_1$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= A\gamma_1^k + B\gamma_2^k \\ \Rightarrow x_0 &= A\gamma_1^0 + B\gamma_2^0 = A+B = 0 \\ x_1 &= A\gamma_1 + B\gamma_2 = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow A &= -\varepsilon/\gamma_1, \quad B = \varepsilon/\gamma_1 \\ x_k &= \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma_1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma_1} (-\gamma_1)^k \end{aligned}$$

Big picture: looked for expressions for x_k which admit exponential growth

\Rightarrow Plug into UMM update \Rightarrow constants of exponential growth are related to roots of a polynomial equation.

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \text{for } x_{k+2} &= 2x_k - x_{k+1} \Rightarrow \gamma = -\gamma_1 \\ \Rightarrow \gamma &= -\gamma_1 \Rightarrow x_k \approx (-\gamma_1)^k \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ x_{k+2} \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -\gamma_1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}}_A \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ x_{k+1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{Jose's approach}$$

The matrix A has eigenvalues -2, 1

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0 \quad = \text{characteristic polynomial}$$

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda - 2 = 0$$

General LMM:

$$\sum_{j=0}^m \alpha_j x_{k+j} = \underbrace{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \beta_j f_{k+j}}_{=0} \quad \text{for } f(x,t) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_{k+m} = -\frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \alpha_j x_{k+j}$$

$$A \begin{pmatrix} x_{k+1} \\ x_{k+2} \\ \vdots \\ x_{k+m-1} \\ x_{k+m} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ \frac{-\alpha_0}{\alpha_m} & \frac{-\alpha_1}{\alpha_m} & \cdots & \frac{-\alpha_{m-1}}{\alpha_m} & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_k \\ x_{k+1} \\ \vdots \\ x_{k+m-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$p(z) = c_0 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \cdots + c_{m-1} z^{m-1} + z^m$$

Roots of $p(z)$ = eigenvalues of A,
(companion matrix)

Multiply $p(z)$ by $-\alpha_m \Rightarrow g(z)$

Def:

$$g(z) = \sum_{j=0}^m \alpha_j z^j$$

Characteristic polynomial
of a LMM.

Thm: A LMM is zero stable if roots γ_j of $f(z)$ satisfy

- ① $|\gamma_j| \leq 1$ for all $j = 1, \dots, m$
- ② If $|\gamma_j| = 1$, the root is simple.

\Rightarrow necessary & sufficient for zero stability,

Dahlquist Equivalence Thm: Suppose an m -step LMM is applied to a "well-behaved" IVP on $(t_0, t_{\text{final}}]$ w/ consistent starting values

$$x_k \rightarrow x(t_k) \quad \text{for } k = 0, \dots, m-1$$
$$t_k = t_0 + hk$$

then the method is convergent as $h \rightarrow 0$

iff the LMM is consistent and zero stable.

Absolute stability: zero stability requires B_j coefficients on the right hand side.

$\Rightarrow B_j$ imply a maximum h for stability
of ODE